

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS OF THE  
ONE THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND SEVENTY-NINTH MEETING  
OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Columbus, Ohio, February 7, 1992

The Board of Trustees met at its regular monthly meeting on Friday, February 7, 1992, at The Ohio State University Ohio Union, Columbus, Ohio, pursuant to adjournment.

\*\*

\*\*

\*\*

Minutes of the last meeting were approved.

\*\*

\*\*

\*\*

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

The Chairman, Mr. Teaford, called the meeting of the Board of Trustees to order on February 7, 1992, at 10:30 a.m. He requested the Secretary to call the roll.

Present: Hamilton J. Teaford, Chairman, John J. Barone, Deborah E. Casto, John W. Kessler, Milton A. Wolf, Leslie H. Wexner, Alex Shumate, Theodore S. Celeste, Michael F. Colley, David A. Tonnies, and Kristen Cusack.

--0--

## **PRESIDENT'S REPORT**

President Gee:

I would note, and particularly to those in the room, that this is an interesting place to meet -- instead of our usual tea and coffee, we can have a Board meeting with Biggie Fries and Biggie Drink! The Ohio Union is an important center of activity for our students -- from a dance to a career fair, from the bank machine to Chinese food, a variety of activities and services are found here. You might not be aware that Ohio State's first student union, now Enarson Hall, was the first of its kind to be established in any state university in this country, built in 1909. This particular building was dedicated in 1951.

On today's Board agenda is authorization for a feasibility study of a student affairs facilities complex. Providing appropriate space for students, faculty, and staff to participate in programs, enjoy services, or simply to informally interact is very important to building a stronger sense of community on the campus, and an even greater spirit of loyalty beyond graduation.

In the past month, I have met hundreds of friends of the University, from Florida to New York to Cincinnati. In every case, I continue to find enthusiasm for Ohio State and a recommitment to support for our outstanding programs.

This spirit was made evident last month when the College of Human Ecology announced the successful completion of their campaign to build two additions on Campbell Hall. In fact, they exceeded their goal of \$1.5 million dollars! This success is due in large measure to the outstanding leadership of the outgoing Dean in the College of Human Ecology, Lena Bailey -- she is one of Ohio State's truly great citizens.

Ohio State has been in the news as the only site in the country to test an experimental anti-lupus drug. We also have received attention for the development of a disease-resistant strain of elm trees. I mention these two examples as a reflection of the breadth of our continuing contributions to the citizens of this State.

I would be remiss if I did not acknowledge that with the expiration of the early retirement offering, we will be bidding farewell to a number of outstanding persons this month. While there are too many to list, I do want to mention a few individuals as representative of the exceptional service that our new retirees have rendered to Ohio State.

Joining the ranks of the retired are: Former Dean William Wallace of the College of Dentistry; Former Engineering Dean and Vice President Don Glower; Associate Provost David Boyne; Dino Pezzutti, Director of our Telecommunications Network Center -- the phone system as we affectionately call it; History Professor and Associate Dean of Humanities, Marvin Zahniser; Michael Frederick, in charge of the high voltage crew in Physical Facilities; Paul Hill, who has been with University Systems since before it was a "system"; Assistant Dean of Arts and Sciences, Don Good, who worked so diligently on the new curriculum; and June Hodapp, an assistant in the Athletic Ticket Office, who got more irate telephone calls than I ever did! We appreciate the work of each of our retiring colleagues.

**PRESIDENT'S REPORT (contd)**

President Gee: (contd)

And even as we say goodbye to some, we welcome to this University Ms. Linda Tom, Vice President for Human Resources and Relations. She brings to us outstanding experience and exceptional skills.

Like the members of this Board, I, too, am very enthusiastic and interested to hear the comments of the students in today's Open Forum. I have resumed again my "Student Night" visits around campus, and hear from students a variety of opinions and observations. Students share with us a serious concern about funding -- theirs and ours. I hear their disappointment at the reduction in bus service. Of course, we have protected our highest priorities in transportation services -- assisting disabled students, access to more remote locations, and night service as a public safety measure.

Students are very concerned about closed courses. You will hear a report today from our Provost and the Office of Academic Affairs regarding unmet course demands. Be assured that we are putting our best creative energies into solving these issues. From on-line registration by telephone, to the allocation of classroom space, the issue of course offerings is a complex one, but one we must address. And one made more difficult in this particular time of budget constraints. As you will hear shortly, we are making this issue a very high priority, and are providing some short-term relief at high pressure points by devoting one-time monies, saved in the delay of a utility rate hike, to addressing this problem for spring quarter. Students and their families are very concerned about tuition. I want to emphasize that we made the decision not to raise tuition now, mid-year. Although the state changed the ground rules on us, we will not do the same to the students during this year. And we remain mindful of the importance of protecting access and financial opportunity for a higher education for all of our citizens.

I want to close by complimenting the presidents of the three student government organizations for their outspoken and indeed outstanding leadership in advocating support for higher education by our elected officials. Together, students, faculty, staff -- all of us -- must do a better job of communicating to the people of this State the value -- and value added -- of one of America's great universities. With that Mr. Chairman, I turn the time back to you.

Mr. Teaford:

Mr. Chairman, before the Open Forum I want everyone to know that last night you won an award for your advertising portrayals in commercials on behalf of the University. This is one of the "Addie" awards that you won from the local marketing group.

President Gee:

I have always wanted one of those. I want to thank my mother and my father!

Mr. Teaford:

This is one of the ways we are cutting back, Mr. President. We aren't having to hire professionals to do our ads, because we have you instead.

--0--

## **STUDENT OPEN FORUM**

Ms. Cusack:

Good morning. David Tonnie and I, as your student trustees, are glad that you could attend today and share your opinions with the Board of Trustees. David and I are only two people and feel that we do our best to represent all of you. This is a golden opportunity to directly state your views to the full Board of Trustees. They want to know what you think.

This forum is intended to be an exchange of ideas. Due to the time constraints it is not a question/answer session. We would like the Board to have an opportunity to hear as many ideas as possible. To this end, please limit your discussion to one topic per person. To further expedite the forum, we have asked students to sign up prior to being seated. Chairman Teaford will go straight through the list and call out your name. When your name is called please speak into the microphone and state your name, hometown, major, and year in school. Please be considerate to your fellow students and honor the two-minute time limit so as many people as possible will have the opportunity to speak. As this is a student forum, only current OSU students are asked to speak.

Thank you, again, for attending.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Ms. Cusack. We will call first upon Shelton Beasley.

Mr. Shelton Beasley:

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Board of Trustees members, President Gee and everyone else behind me. The issue I'd like to address is the course offerings issue which was just brought up. I would like to just describe my case as an example of what I think is going on with a great many students right here at the University.

When I started here fall quarter I had fifty credit hours to complete. Theoretically, if I went two quarters with fifteen credit hours and one quarter with possibly twenty or an increased number, I could graduate by the end of spring. But due to the class offerings, I can't get the classes that I need. I accepted that and deferred my graduation until summer.

Meeting with my advisor, Ms. Shelia Jolla in the College of Arts and Science, we sat down and tried to come up with a schedule so I could file my application for graduation and go ahead and go through the necessary procedures to graduate. Even though my graduation was deferred until summer, I still can't get the classes I need or I may not be able to get them. Departments are putting classes up, taking them off, putting them up, taking them off. I don't know what tomorrow brings, and I can't focus fully on my school work. And I felt so strongly about it that I felt that it was necessary for me to bring this up.

What I'm trying to say is that, basically, students shouldn't be forced to have to deal with the situation where classes aren't being offered and have to worry about will they be in school next quarter, when will they graduate, and things like that. Students should just have concentrate on class work and getting through classes and so forth. This whole thing about dealing with classes is something that is thrown into the middle and is taking away time that I could invest in my studies and job search or going to graduate school. And I think after investing five years in The Ohio State University and the state education system as a whole that it's just not right. It's not fair, and something should be done about it.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Like I said, it affects me and it also affects other students. I'm a minority scholar from the Office of Minority Affairs. The money that I'll be using possibly Spring and Summer Quarters and maybe in the following Fall Quarter, could be better suited towards bringing another minority student into the University and having that student get the full use of the University's facilities. You are wasting it on me by not letting me graduate. That's all I would like to say.

Mr. Teaford:

And I would note that the next order of business will be a discussion of that very topic by Dr. Hutchinson, so that's one I'm happy to say we are somewhat aware of. Next, Tim DeHart.

Mr. Tim DeHart:

Good morning. My name is Tim DeHart and I'm a senior from Franklin, Ohio, majoring in advertising. I hope that I can aspire to be like Dr. Gee and win a Addie someday.

I'm here to talk about traditional campus activities. I was the chairperson of the Homecoming Committee this past fall and am currently the chairperson of Parents Weekend, and also a member of the Student Events Committee. We are having difficulty planning these traditional-type activities. And if we want, as an institution, to make these activities important then we need to find some way that we can say that these are special activities and to make the path in planning these activities a little easier.

We have administrators that when we want to do different type of events such as bring a pep rally in, they tell us no. Instead, we need to figure out ways to say how we can do it differently and come up with creative ways to do it. Because these activities are the very activities that are going to foster the community and make the University more of a community for the students, they are very important. They can be excellent public relations tools for the University to market.

For Homecoming we were on the news every night during homecoming week, and it sends a message to the community. So we need to in some way say that these are important activities so that we can help the students. Because right now I'm having difficulty finding a replacement for me for Homecoming. But it's not because students don't want to do it, it's because it's such an undertaking. So if we want to keep these activities, we need to figure out how to make it easier for the students to plan. Thank you.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. DeHart.

Dr. Gee:

Tim, thank you very much. As you know, Dr. Spillman and others are working very hard on making our activities "student-friendly." Mr. Chairman, there is a lot of talk about how we're going to be able to do that. But I might point out that Tim and the students that have worked with him have done an absolute remarkable job. Those that attended Homecoming this year know that it was a real undertaking and one that was student initiated. It is that sense of community that we really do appreciate Tim.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Mr. Teaford:

And having judged both the Homecoming Court and the Gordon Gee look-a-like contest, Mr. President, I can attest to that as well.

President Gee:

I was real irritated when I came in third place in that contest.

Mr. Teaford:

I now call upon Mr. Conte.

Mr. Marc Conte:

Good morning, my name is Marc Conte. I am a senior majoring in Communications from Seven Hills, Ohio. I represent the Bisexual, Gay, and Lesbian Alliance here at Ohio State.

As an openly gay man, I face many forms of oppression at Ohio State. I have people ignore me when I come out, I suffer verbal insults, and of course a potential gay basher is always lurking around the corner. These are issues that are very hard to deal with directly.

One issue however that needs to be acted on immediately is that of ROTC discrimination. The Reserve Officer Training Core program specifically excludes gays, lesbians, and bisexuals which is a direct violation of the University's non-discrimination policy. Should Ohio State take action to retain their integrity, other universities across the country would surely follow their example.

Now, President Gee has stated that he disagrees with the policy, but refuses to do anything but write letters of protest. He has told us to lobby Washington, and we have tried that for two years now, as best we could while still living in Columbus. It is now time for immediate action to end this discrimination. Just last year the University began a promotional campaign, of sorts, that highlighted its commitment to fighting discrimination and its promotion of affirmative action. And how can anyone, any person of color, any woman, or anyone of a religious minority, let alone someone who is gay, lesbian, or bisexual feel safe on this campus while the ROTC discrimination continues. That is all that I have to say, thank you.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. Conte. I just want to say that while I will not be responding to these, the people surrounding us are all charged to look at all of the issues that you raise to us today. So we will get back at a later time on all of these things. Thank you. Ellen Bouton --

Ms. Ellen Bouton:

I am passing around some brochures. My name is Ellen Bouton, I am a senior in Agricultural Communication and Agricultural Economics from Mt. Vernon. I represent an organization called the Student Alumni Council and we provide services to students in the past, present, and future. Our main focus is on students, present Buckeyes, that are here attending The Ohio State University.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

I find, and a lot of other students find, that we are just a number here, whether anybody wants to believe it or not, and our organization wants to make students feel welcome. We do anything from welcoming new freshman to campus with a bunch of balloons, to sending our seniors off with a picnic the day of commencement practice. We also try to link-up students with alumni through different programs called the Extern Program, which is short-term shadow to a new program called Dinner for Twelve Strangers. We put students, faculty, and alumni together for an evening, for dinner just to get to know each other so that students feel a little bit more welcomed and that they know people on campus and it gives them an opportunity to meet people in their field.

I really don't have an issue, I just wanted to let you know that there are some of us out there that care about students and we welcome you to the Union where most of us live. Thank you.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Ms. Bouton. We appreciate what you are doing. Julie Knight --

Ms. Julie Knight:

Good morning all. Cecile Bishara, managing editor of the Makio yearbook, Steve Casburn, who is academic editor and student advisory board member, and myself, Julie Knight, as student advisory board member, would like to come before you to talk about an issue for the Makio.

We are a tradition at Ohio State, a long-standing tradition since 1880. We are now a tradition in peril. We have been fighting the past few years to create an awareness at this University. It is a very large University, so it is very understandable that we have this difficulty, but we are finding that many people do not know that we exist. Our small staff of volunteer students has worked very, very hard and has made super-human efforts, I believe, to solve our marketing and our PR problems. But we have decided and come to the conclusion that we need more than just our staff's help, we need the University's support.

We are painfully aware of the financial economic situation in our community and in the nation, so we do not come to ask you for large financial gifts. What we do ask for is your support through some very specific things by allowing us to make student population aware through the registration process and through student orientations that are held for incoming freshman each summer. That way they can at least be aware that we have a book. We are discovering -- we are getting phone calls after people graduate saying, "I did not know there was a book and I would like to order one." As well as alumni calling up and saying, "Do you have a 1964 book? Do you have a 1940 book that we can purchase from you?" As well as the person that just walks in the door to our office and says, "I need some information from 1933, can I look at your book?"

So we are a written record of this University and we are a record at risk. We believe that we have an importance here and we would like some support with that. Thank you, very much.

President Gee:

Just a question, we don't want to spend a lot of time because we can talk about it afterward, have you already explored that with --

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Ms. Knight:

We have begun to explore it with Dean Hollingsworth and with --

President Gee:

You haven't found resistance -- what you are saying is that you just hope that people would be supportive of that.

Ms. Knight:

That is correct. We've already received some support and we are very happy that that has happened.

President Gee:

Ok, thank you.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Julie. Next, we will hear from John Spry.

Mr. John Spry:

Hello my name is John Spry, I am a junior in economics and math, and I am from Tipp City, Ohio. I would like to speak about what I think the University should be all about and that is academic inquiry, academic freedom, and the pursuit of knowledge. And I see problems with this at Ohio State. I guess the label to this problem is political correctness.

The specific issue is that the University is funding political groups that are taking only one side of two very important topics that should be debated. That is should there be restrictions on abortion, and should there be restrictions on homosexual activity. And the Office of Women Student Services, and you will hear more about this today, is taking a very, what is called, "pro-choice" position. I don't think that is the role of the University to be taking a blatantly political position with State money and people's tuition money.

Also, as you have heard, there is a new Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Student Services group which is taking one side only. The other side doesn't get funding. As far as the students' groups they are fine. There are student groups that are on both sides of these issues. But I don't think that it is consistent with academic freedom and inquiry to take positions on just one side of hotly debated political issues. That is not the role of a university.

I would like to submit that it would be in the best interest of the University to do away with these two offices and take the money you save and use it for some very good purposes that would benefit these groups. Namely, use it for rape prevention and education in the police department. And in the police department use it to work and try to stop hate crimes against gays. They are wrong, they shouldn't happen. It could also be used for general student safety which would effect these groups. But I do not think that it is consistent with academic inquiring debate for the University to take sides on political issues. I don't want to see this ever have a sovereign state kind of problem at Ohio State. I trust that we never will have it, but I think we should avoid something like that by getting the University out of the political debates. Thank you, very much.



February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. Spry. Angela Tucker --

Ms. Angela Tucker:

Good morning ladies and gentlemen. The issue that I am going to address today is the discrimination of the Women Student Services, a branch of this University, to where our tax money and tuition goes against the pro-life position.

President Gee:

Angela, where are you from?

Ms. Tucker:

I am Angela Tucker, I am from Ohio State University Students for Life.

President Gee:

No, I mean your home town.

Ms. Tucker:

I am from Lancaster, Ohio. Like I said, Women Student Services, a branch of this University, as it gets tax and tuition money, is discriminating against Ohio State University Students for Life in the issue of not including us in their referral systems for women. In the student handbook the mission of the student services is stated as carrying a current and comprehensive referral list of campus and community services available to women and men. These resources include the Women's Resource Handbook, Women Networks, Crisis Referral Lists and the Quarterly Calender that is distributed all around campus.

Despite the fact that we offer services for women and we meet all of the requirements for inclusion, the Office continually and emphatically denies our right to be included on the calender. Services that we as Ohio State University Students for Life offer include many educational opportunities and service opportunities, including a baby shower that we are going to have for women who choose not to abort their babies and who choose to have their babies, but cannot afford the baby clothes or the prenatal care.

Cynthia Harris, the Director of Women Student Services, in a recent letter to Ohio State University Students for Life said the following, "Through our every statement and action we strive to promote women as intelligent and unique individuals capable of assessing a complex situation and choosing our most appropriate option." If she really believes what she is saying, why does she withhold information relevant to those situations. She's denying women the opportunity to make an educated choice. The Ohio Legislature has upheld this with passing overwhelmingly the Women's Right to Know Bill.

I've presented you with some problems and here are the solutions that I would like you to consider. First of all, we would like for OSU Students for Life, Ohio Right to Life, Columbus Right to Life, and Pregnancy Distress Center to be included on the calendar and on the resource list. This is in keeping with the calendar already because it already includes the National Organization for Women and the National Abortion Rights Action League.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Secondly, we demand the resignation of Cynthia Harris because in the previously mentioned letter she also said, "It is our mission to preserve and protect women's right to choose." That statement is not in accordance with the statement of purpose in the Women Student Services handbook statement. It is a personal agenda that she is trying to fulfill through her professional capacity. Thank you for your time.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Ms. Tucker. Michel O'Hara --

Ms. Michel O'Hara:

My name is Michel O'Hara. I am a master's candidate in the Department of Photography and Cinema, and I am here to speak in another term of academic freedom and the University's responsibility to students.

Basically, I am concerned about academic cuts which are rapidly being proposed across this University, and specifically the termination of the Department of Photography and Cinema at this time. One of the problems that I think this University is facing as a whole, and will continue to face, is the fact that there aren't really any guidelines or procedures by which to terminate departments, or they are so vague that this is leading to willy-nilly cuts done without research and without planning, which is something that is very vital. And extensive research and planning has to be done in the establishment of these same departments.

In a termination of a department it is our understanding that this is something that once this has occurred there is not the opportunity ever again in the history of the University for that unit to exist. So if a termination happens, and it happens to be a wrong decision, or a mistake, or something that isn't in the best interest of the University, and we find out somewhere down the line that we want to reinstate a department, that is not possible after a termination.

Specifically, I have over 2,000 signatures and numbers of letters from professionals in the field and in academe from students, from faculty, from alumni, from citizens of the State of Ohio, who all are asking specifically for the immediate withdrawal of the termination proposal for the Department of Photography and Cinema; the retention of the Department of Department of Photography and Cinema as a discrete academic unit; and to look toward and try and find the appropriate administrative structure in order to support this academic discipline or these disciplines.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Michel. We will be reading the information that you have given us and we appreciate it.

Ms. Michel O'Hara:

Thank you.

Mr. Teaford:

Greg Schwab --

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Mr. Greg Schwab:

Members of the Board, I am Greg Schwab. I am a third-year law student from Westerville, Ohio. I just want to briefly express my concern over the situation developing today in the media, regarding what appears to be a selective legal defense of a particular student afforded by this University. This is in regard to the student arrested by OSU police involved with the situation of arson in a dormitory. Apparently, an official at this University supplied an attorney for the representation of this particular student and attempted to have these charges dismissed. Against criticism there is now a suggestion that police arrests made here at this University be afforded prior review before going downtown to the Prosecutor's office.

I am concerned that such involvement would, in either reality or in appearance, appear to allow the University undue influence in criminal matters. There is no other police agency in this county right now that has their arrests reviewed by a city employee, for instance, as far as I know. And the Prosecutor's office has and does screen all charges brought forth to them before proceeding in court. We have a highly trained professional police department, we don't need the police department reduced to a security department by the influence of the University. Thank you.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. Schwab. Mitchell Smith --

Mr. Mitchell Smith:

Hello, my name is Mitchell Smith and I am a graduate student in Biomedical Engineering from Fremont, Ohio. I am also the student representative for the Joint Committee on Solid Waste Management and Planning.

What I have to say is pretty fundamental. We can save a half a million dollars over the next three years if we start a recycling program immediately. Waste disposal costs are doubling every year and the longer we put off recycling the more money we are going to lose. The report of the Committee is a result of many hours of work by President-appointed experts in the field. It is not environmentalist propaganda, it is just a good cost savings proposal that we would expect to be implemented if it were in the private sector. We need the recycling program now to meet state law and, more importantly, to quit wasting money. It is just a wonderful bonus that environmentalists happen to agree with this proposal.

Start up and education may take a while. We urge you to urge the administration to set forth the minor investment costs to implement this proposal. Please tell us when we can start. I would like to thank Mr. Shkurti for speaking with us on this issue, but if we don't get started soon, we are literally going to throw all of our money in the trash. Thank you.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. Smith. Dan Robins --

Mr. Dan Robins:

Good morning. My name is Dan Robins, I am from the Department of Chemistry and I am a graduate student. And what I would like to speak about, again, is a reflection of the latest rounds of budget cuts that have hit the University and, specifically, how it has affected the Department of Chemistry.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

We have been told, as graduate students, that a serious threat of our in-house funds for research is going to be affected. In fact, it could be drying up as we speak. And as a result of this, progress will be severely hampered because of small equipment purchases, and simple repairs. The little things that the graduate students take most part in -- and not so much the faculty members -- will be difficult to obtain. And, again, this will just hamper research.

We are well aware that similar troubles affect every department throughout the University. What I'd like to speak about, and I am sure there are a lot of other students that would support this, but again it is a very difficult issue and one that I would believe you will be facing in the next few years, is that this University, as well as many others throughout the country cannot be the biggest and the best for everyone and everything. And there is going to come a point in time where decisions are going to have to be made on what areas to strengthen that are strong, and what areas to bring out that are weak. There are going to be some serious sacrifices, and I want to support the Board in the future because this is going to be a very difficult issue. Thank you.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. Robins. Mr. Butalia --

Mr. Tarunjit Butalia:

Good morning, members of the Board. My name is Tarunjit Butalia and I am an international graduate student pursuing my Ph.D. in civil engineering. First of all, I would like to thank you all for this opportunity for us. This really shows the keenness of the University for student participation. I am really grateful for that.

My question, however, is with regard to the proposed special fees. We have heard a lot about the possibility of special fees for students. With a reasonably big tuition hike, which could be coming as soon as fall, the students are concerned about special fees in addition to the tuition hike. However, I must say that some students do see some advantages in some of these special fees.

Keeping all of these points in mind, I would like the Members of the Board to look at this issue of special fees with great care and with no hurry. Thank you.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. Butalia.

President Gee:

I might just note to you that we do have a committee looking generally at the issue of fees, so that we have a more coherent structure. I am not certain if you are aware of that, so that really does respond to your issue. We are concerned also. We do not want to develop a fee structure that makes no sense. At the same time, we do want to be readily available for targeting particular programs in which there are special costs and maybe special needs. So we are looking at that in a comprehensive way, but I appreciate your point.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. President. Kathleen Carberry --

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Ms. Kathleen Carberry:

Good morning. My name is Kathleen Carberry and I am a first year graduate student in the Department of Art Education.

As a graduate student, I am concerned that further departmental cuts will make it prohibitively difficult for graduate students to continue their educations, particularly in my department of art education which is nationally recognized. And as the arts continue to gain in reputation -- and certainly have a greater opportunity to gain in reputation with the addition of the Wexner Center to the OSU campus -- I am concerned that sufficient funds be available for the continued growth and excellence in the arts at Ohio State University. Thank You.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Ms. Carberry. Sandra Thomas --

Ms. Sandra Thomas:

Hello, I am Sandra Thomas from Cleveland, Ohio. I am a graduate student in the Department of Preventive Medicine, and I am an Alumna of the College of Medicine.

I would like to speak briefly on the situation of funded graduate students. I am a funded graduate student and I feel that we perform many vital service and academic functions at the University. So we serve the University, and the funding is also critical for many G.A.'s to complete their degrees.

As funded graduate students, we are considered student employees and as such we don't have the benefits or protections of our other faculty and staff colleagues. There are some stated policies for the treatment of G.A.'s in the Graduate School Handbook, but departments don't enforce that uniformly. And if the student has a problem -- I was in a situation where I took a lot of initiative, I made phone calls, went to offices, and inadvertently stepped on some peoples toes. There was no real clear policy for resolution of grievances that graduate students have.

And I think that there should be increased profile for maintaining some uniform employment standards for G.A.'s. There should be a clearly identified resource that graduate students know about and that departments know about so that if graduate students have grievances, they can resolve them.

I think that it is also important for that to be non-departmental, because many graduate students work in their academic unit. So that any employment issues -- if they dealt with them also have academic implications for them. So I think that many graduate students suffer because they are afraid of not getting their degrees. I think that this is a situation that really should be stopped. We are employees of the State of Ohio and we should be treated fairly. The system should be set up so that it is easy for us to identify resources rather than having us suffer in silence.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Ms. Thomas. Eileen Best --

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Ms. Eileen Best:

I am Eileen Best and I am a doctoral student in the College of Education., I am from Columbus, and I work in Women Student Services.

The Office of Women Student Services was founded on a feminist philosophy which emphasizes womens choice in all aspects, including reproductive rights to choose. The Womens Calendar is a symbol of that feminist philosophy and will not include programs or organizations which seek to oppress the rights of women or other minorities. All programming and information offered through Women Student Services seeks to provide an environment which does not judge women based on religious or any other doctrine or dogma which historically oppresses women and minorities.

Ms. Kelly Sprague:

Hi my name is Kelly Sprague and I am a graduate assistant in Women Student Services. I am a graduate student in higher education in Student Affairs and I am from Dallas, Texas.

I also wanted to say that the Office of Women Student Services was founded from a political standpoint in the early 1970's, when women were oppressed in different forms in the area of higher education. What the gentleman and young lady said from Students for Life, what they mentioned about being discriminated against simply is not true. They have been formally offered opportunities to be included in our referral system, as well as have their materials included in our library.

The Womens Calendar is an advocacy tool which promotes the feminist philosophy upon which our office was founded, and would serve to promote programming which seeks to empower women in all aspects. How can the Student's for Life Organization ask for views on reproductive rights not to be thought of and expressed in our office? Their existence is merely a position on what their position is concerning this issue and if we promote their position, we would be still expressing a position.

This issue goes far beyond the Office of Women Student Services as it effects women everywhere, in every arena. Thank you.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Ladies. John McCluskey --

Mr. John McCluskey:

Good morning. My name is John McCluskey and I am a sophomore from Springfield, majoring in political science and communications. I'd like first of all to thank the President and the Trustees for providing this open forum. I think that these kinds of things are very important to keep in touch with us students and let us air our differences.

I am here to talk to you about campus radio, WOSR. I am the new general manager of WOSR. The University currently holds licenses for an AM, FM, and a television station, but there is no means for direct student participation in these stations. WOSR is the only free format station where any student, any member of this University can come and get practical knowledge of what it is like to work in a radio station -- get real world experience.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Now we realize that funding is really short and I am holding up my end of the bargain. I have seen the funding crunch myself. I have instituted a lot of Yeltsinesque budget crunching reforms at WOSR. I have discussed it with Dean Hollingsworth, the Dean of Student Life. We are holding up our end of the bargain, we are going through our end of Perastroika, now we would like you to show your support.

You said that you are willing to work with us. And I believe it would require in relation a small amount of money to get us going and to provide this sort of opportunity which could really help the students majoring in communication, journalism, or advertising for that matter. This could be a real force for the community and a real opportunity for students of these majors to gain some practical, real world experience to help them in the job market. I yield the balance of my time. Thank you, very much.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. McCluskey. Mike Hughes --

Mr. Michael Hughes:

My name is Michael Hughes. I am the Undergraduate Student Government Regional Campus Representative from Mansfield. When you ask me where I am from, I like to taut my home town of St. Louis, Missouri, although, I moved to the booming metropolis of Port Clinton, Ohio, several years ago.

This past weekend administrators and students from the Regional Campuses got together in a forum to discuss problems which are facing the Regional Campuses. This was when this problem was brought to my attention. Apparently, the admission standards of Ohio State are changing and they are looking to get better students here at Ohio State, and I commend that. Apparently students at the Regional Campuses are going to have to meet these standards when they transfer from a Regional Campus to the Main Campus.

When I was at Mansfield, I felt that I was not a part of The Ohio State University, I felt like I was removed. And I think there is a problem with communication and lack of information to the Regional Campuses. If in fact they have to meet these standards again, when coming from a Regional Campus to Main Campus, I believe that is going to further alienate the Regional Campuses and further alienate the student from being a part of the family of The Ohio State University. I do not believe you can do this to these students. I believe they should meet these standards when they are admitted to any branch campus of The Ohio State University, so we will not promote any alienation of this type. Thank you.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. Hughes. And I might say that this Board will be holding its April meeting on your campus in Mansfield, so we look forward to seeing you then.

Mr. Michael Hughes:

I will be there.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Mr. Teaford:

I want to thank all of you for your insightful comments. I am sure that I speak for the Board when I say that we very much appreciate having had this opportunity to hear the students of this University and to get your views. Thank you, very much.

Mr. Wexner:

I want to take this opportunity to congratulate the Chairman and also the President for taking advantage of this particular opportunity to have an open meeting. And I wanted to remind the University family that the Board meetings are open, there are ten of them a year. I think it's the clear intention of all the Trustees that our meetings are open and transparent. Although this is an unusual form of a meet, the fact of it is that it is not unusual in the fact that all of the Trustees meetings are open to the public, particular the University family.

I think of the issue of questions and statements coming from faculty and students, the Trustees, as well as the Administration of the University are sincerely interested in peoples' opinions and questions. I know in discussion with other Trustees, we're quite anxious for that input. So whether those questions or opinions or statements are directed to individual Trustees, through the President's Office, to the Chairman, to the Secretary to the Board of Trustees, the more information we have the more things we are aware of, the better able we are to do our jobs.

I would assure you that all of the Trustees take their public responsibility seriously. And the Provost's response publicly to an inquiry of the Board, inquiring of the faculty how many are teaching, what percentage are on leave, is a small demonstration of the kinds of questions that we ask trying to fulfill our responsibilities.

And I'll exclude myself from this blanket statement, but I assure on a personal basis that I take the job seriously. Is that the Trustees, as well as the faculty we are all in this together and we are dedicated to make this the best possible Institution. I think today's meeting is the clearest endorsement of the sincerity of everyone involved with the University in that undertaking.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. Wexner.

--0--

### **STEPS THE UNIVERSITY IS TAKING TO ADDRESS CLOSED CLASSES**

Dr. Hutchinson:

First I'd like to try to define the problem of closed courses as we see it in the Office of Academic Affairs, and then speak about the solutions that we are intending to put in place. The problem is this: closed courses have a long tradition at The Ohio State University.

In the past, much of what we refer to as closed courses has been, in fact, a matter of course deferrals, where students are unable to take the courses that they want when they want to take them. In most instances, students have adjusted to this practice by taking other similar courses which are open or by taking fewer courses in a given quarter. This practice has become part of the way of doing business at this Institution, as it has at many other large universities in this country. It causes considerable frustration for students and for parents. But as long as it was possible to shift curricular requirements students and parents tolerated the practice.



February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

It is difficult to eliminate the problem because students' preferences for courses offer starting a sequence of course work shift from year to year and they're often a mismatch with University resources. Massive enrollment shifts towards certain majors often strain the resources within the Institution, in terms of both teaching staff and in terms of facilities. During the past several years we have seen massive shifts toward several of the majors in the College of Humanities and the College of Social and Behavior Sciences.

More recently, just this year tremendous pressure has been put on basic chemistry by a plan change in the Engineering curriculum, compounded by a shift of student interest in pre-med. Fortunately, through a combination of new resources from the Office of Academic Affairs and the College of Mathematical and Physical Sciences much of that pressure has been relieved this Autumn and Winter Quarters, although certainly not all of it. I must admit that our ability to respond to massive enrollment shifts is limited and needs to be improved.

The problem of course deferrals is somewhat relieved by priority scheduling systems that give our rank four students, graduating seniors, honor students, and disabled students top priority in the scheduling process. With a system of deferred scheduling, there is often a back-log of students waiting for a course from quarter to quarter. These groups with priority are given preference by our computer scheduling system, "Brutus," in course scheduling.

The Council on Admissions and Registration is at work on improving this system with the refinement of priority scheduling and the development of a "wait list" that will hopefully take away the despair of getting closed out of a course over and over again. I'm also asking the Registrar to examine the feasibility of an automotive deferral system. So that students closed out of a course one quarter would be given higher priority to register for that course the following quarter.

Over the long-term, the very notion that practices that irritate and frustrate students and parents as well, exist at an institution striving for excellence should be examined. Certainly, major efforts are underway in the Office of Admissions, Student Financial Aid, and Fees and Deposits. To the extent that is possible, all such practices including closed courses and deferred courses should be minimized or at the optimum eliminated.

On the short-term the problem is increasing at an alarming rate and immediate steps need to be taken to minimize this problem in this University. The close out problem has grown much worse in the last two years. In addition to enrollment shifts, two factors have aggravated the problem.

The first factor has been the general education curriculum that we are now implementing. Also, much tighter curriculum than the BER's that existed before, and this has virtually eliminated free electives. Without electives and with more general education courses, students have less flexibility to choose other open courses when they're closed out of a given course.

The second factor has been the reduction in resources to deliver courses, because we all know of the budget reductions that have been required. Although we attempted to protect the academic core in last year's cuts, many cuts were taken by not filling vacant positions. Needless to say, we are concerned that the most recent cuts will further erode our ability to maintain our level of course offerings.

Now the solutions that we suggest: three aspects of the problem need to be changed in order to arrive at a solution. One is an attitude about the problem; the second is financial resources; and the third is classroom space. Let's take them in order.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Attitudes about the problem. All levels of leadership, including the deans and department chairs, many of whom are in the room today I'm pleased to see, need to be convinced that this is a real problem. That elimination of it is a priority for this Institution and that it will take a considered and multi-level effort to confront the problem. I wish to state, parenthetically, that I've already initiated discussions with the deans and the associate deans in the Colleges of the Arts and Sciences on ways to address this problem.

Second the financial resources. A sum of money in excess of \$500,000 will be committed to this effort immediately. The mechanism will be developed in the very near future to allocate those funds on a one-time basis to resolve major back logs in courses.

On the recommendation of a task force that recently completed an analysis of the subsidy implications for summer enrollment, a number of courses having a history of close outs will be offered in the summer in the hope of relieving some of that back log. These funds will also be used to create additional sections of closed courses which will be offered during the regular academic year.

The third problem -- space. Even if we had the resources to create enough new sections of closed out courses, we do not have enough classroom space to hold those sections. I believe that there were 368 classrooms five years ago, we are down under 300 now. The cause for that is two-fold. One that a number had been committed to other uses before we realized the dimension of the problem. And secondly, we have a number of buildings now being renovated which have taken classrooms out of use, but will be available later.

On the recommendation of the Classroom Coordinating Council, I will ask the Council on Admission and Registration to immediately examine the feasibility of extending the traditional day schedule. So that instead of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., it would be 7:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. That would extend our amount of classroom space automatically by about 11 per cent. This will effectively increase the number of hours available by one hour.

I am asking that no classrooms be removed from the classroom pool until such time as new classrooms come on-line. The problem will be lessened by about 1994, when some of our new classrooms are built. But in the meantime, we're going to have to deal with the problem and a major solution, we feel, is extending the classroom day.

It is important that students and faculty accept this solution and participate fully. Simply extending it without having any classes offered in those hours is not going to help very much. And in fact, if you know we already have quite a lot of free time between 8 a.m. and 10 a.m. and between 3:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. So the whole campus is going to have to participate in this as a solution if it's going to work. But it can work without any great undue hardship to any body.

Finally, I'm appointing a task force consisting of representatives from the Office of Academic Affairs, the Office of Finance, the Colleges of the Arts and Sciences, the Council on Admission and Registration, and undergraduate, graduate and professional student groups to examine the long-range problem related to course close outs. This group will examine the issues surrounding this matter, including: new ways to respond to enrollment shifts; a computerized system of enrollment deferment; a system of academic counseling that would help students consider options in advance of registration; and finally, the eventual minimizing of the elimination of closed courses.

Mr. Chairman, that completes my report. I believe you and other members of the Board have raised the question as to the number of faculty members that are teaching this year. I have put before you a summary that we did not have and we have taken considerable time to pull together in the last few days.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

As you will see from this out of 2,840 faculty members on the Columbus Campus this year, 2,754 are teaching. And I want to explain what we mean by teaching. That is not that they are simply perhaps carrying a one-credit seminar course, these are in the classroom teaching. That means there are only 46 that are not.

There are another 40 that are on professional leave this year, but that's as it should be. And for an institution of this size that may be low. Perhaps we should be critical of that small number. But it's only 46 and one at a time I could tell you that a number of those are in the College of Agriculture and are people that have other assignments and therefore would not be expected to be in the classroom. So in fact it's a very small number of people that are not in the classroom teaching.

In addition, I would point out to you that we have found that in looking in this matter that almost all assistant and associate deans teach and, in fact, many of the deans teach. So, I think the answer to the question is very clear. The faculty of this institution teach. Thank you.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Dr. Hutchinson. I might add to what you said, that I understand that at least one of those non-teachers is a professor who has about ten graduate students with whom he works. And any body who thinks that that is not teaching does not understand what a university is all about. So I think when we look at the whole view of teaching we must understand that graduate school teaching doesn't even show up in any of the statistics.

I thought, too, that Mr. Beasley's situation illustrated another one of the problems that we have. If you want to take fifty hours in three quarters -- that's very, very difficult and does put an extra strain on the University, because that is an extraordinary load and therefore is more difficult. So it is important that students plan ahead to spread their load a little more.

Ms. Casto:

I would like to thank you for all of your hard work you have done on this. You moved so quickly and swiftly to find a solution to these problems and I think a lot of them will help to solve some of the problems and ease some of the tension here.

Dr. Hutchinson:

Thank you, Ms. Casto. I would like to point out that I did not mean to leave the impression that there will not be some closed courses next year. To eliminate this problem in the near term would be unrealistic. We need to lessen it substantially and we need to take these steps and hope that as we can bring on more classrooms and take the step of an extended day that it will be much better next year.

Mr. Teaford:

Dr. Hutchinson, how many more persons did you say had wanted to take a first-year chemistry course this year, than in the past.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Dr. Hutchinson:

We had about 600 in the Winter Quarter unusually. There was no way that we could have predicted that because we did not know that Engineering had changed their requirements, so that added about half that number. And then another 300 was simply people who are not yet in pre-med, but think they are. And they want to be sure to take chemistry, because that's a very critical course. Therefore, the numbers that chose to take that instead of some others science course shifted drastically and unexpectedly.

Mr. Teaford:

I think that number just illustrates how very, very difficult the circumstance is when an extra 600 people can want to take a class all of a sudden. So that's how difficult the situation is. Thank you, Dr. Hutchinson.

--0--

### **STUDENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE MEETING**

Mr. Tonnies:

This morning the Student of Affairs Subcommittee reviewed the proposal for modifying the procedure for the selection of the student trustees. We've been looking into this for many months. We recommend approval of this procedure. The resolution that we want to pass today will amend resolution 89-37 and it will be changed such that in the beginning of this year the students selected in even number of years will be a graduate or profession student and the students selected in odd number of years will be an undergraduate student.

### **PROCEDURE FOR SELECTION OF STUDENT TRUSTEES**

Resolution No. 92-67

Synopsis: The Student Affairs Committee recommended the approval of the procedure for selection of student trustees.

WHEREAS the Ohio Legislature has amended Ohio Revised Code Section 3335.02 (A) and enacted Section 3335.02 (B) for the inclusion of students on the Board of The Ohio State University; and

WHEREAS The Ohio State University, through this Board, the administration, and student leaders, has developed appropriate procedures for the implementation of the State's student trustee plan; and

WHEREAS the existing procedures need clarification with respect to the nomination of Student Trustees:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the procedures for nominating students to serve on The Ohio State University Board of Trustees as set forth in Resolution 89-37 be amended as follows: that beginning in 1992, the student selected in even numbered years shall be a Graduate or Professional student, and the student selected in odd numbered years will be an undergraduate student.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Upon motion of Mr. Celeste, seconded by Mr. Colley, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous voice vote.

Mr. Tonnies:

The second part to our meeting today in which we have a presentation about student life in the Ohio Unions. The student developed presentation, "Next Stop Union Station," provided a colorful representation of the diversity of student endeavors in the Ohio Union. Pat Rowan, a student and former chair of the Student Events Committee and the Ohio Unions Council, produced today's program which featured students and activities from a variety of campus areas. That completes my report.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr Tonnies. Those of you who didn't get to go to the Student Affairs Committee meeting missed a good time.

--0--

### **FISCAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE REPORT**

Mr. Teaford:

To begin the Fiscal Affairs Committee meeting we will start with Mr. Shkurti for a budget update.

### **BUDGET UPDATE**

Mr. Shkurti:

The document to which I will be referring is in your Board book under the tab Budget. The first two pages are the more traditional way the University presents that document and I thought it would be appropriate to have a summary which is what that last page is. It's entitled, "Columbus Campus General Funds Budget Changes to the Bottom Line." To make a long story short, it simply tracks what's happened to the budget since June.

The University has absorbed \$15 million in cuts from the State since June. We had \$2 million that we started the year with as a reserve that's been used to off set part of those cuts. So we have a net amount of \$13 million to make up between now and the end of the year. The students that have spoken to us this morning have testified much more eloquently than anything numbers on a page can say about the impact of these cuts on the University.

Suffice it to say that our enrollments are up, our state support is down, so we are already doing more with less, and will continue to struggle to do so. But I am confident that by the time the years end we will have the University's budget back in balance and we will move on from there.

The other thing that I would like to discuss in terms of non-numerical terms is where we stand in terms of our mid year financial review. That is in front of you on white paper that says, "The Ohio State University Board of Trustees Mid Year Financial Review FY 1992." Now on the other side is last year's review at this time just so you have that for comparison purposes. But let me speak for just a minute about some of the challenges and areas of improvements we're facing for fiscal year 1992.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

In terms of the challenges to be addressed, the various state budget cuts are pretty evident. I think what's important that we not lose track of is that our state government has not yet dealt with the fiscal year 1993 budget problem. The issues that have come up to this point have been this year's budget problem, much of that has been dealt with using one-time money. And so that money is gone, yet the problem will exist, again, in 1993, even with a recovery in the economy. And since this is an even numbered year, a certain sense of unreality sometimes permeates the State House and problems are not always dealt with in a timely as they might be. So the University is going to have to continue to be flexible and I expect may receive some more bad news from the State before this budget crisis works its way out.

The third item on challenges to be addressed are the indirect cost reimbursement from the federal government, those come up for Ohio State every three years. We are beginning a negotiating cycle with the federal government and if the experience of other universities who started the cycle is any indication, the federal government is not being kinder and gentler with universities in these negotiations. So that will be a challenge for us coming up as well.

Another item, in terms of the impact of budget cuts, is the third from last line about some on going unit problems. And the one I've indicated there is the OARDC, the Ohio Agriculture Research and Development Center in Wooster. Just to point out some of the problems other units of the campus are facing, even though they are not part of the main campus general funds. While the Central Campus received a 4 per cent budget cut this year, OARDC received a 6 per cent cut in December. They received an additional cut in July when the budget was passed and received a cut prior to that last year at about this time. So a number of our units, both at Wooster, our extended campuses, and here on the main campus, are struggling from the effects of the state budget cuts.

Finally, in terms of the last item on the challenges to be addressed is that this is the year that we need to forge a linkage between our budgeting system and our academic planning system. The Offices of Finance and Academic Affairs, and in fact the entire University is working together and will need to work together to bring that together in the budget cycle that will begin July 1, 1993. And we will have more on that for you later in the year.

Even though this has been a very difficult year for us budgetarily, there have been areas of improvement, and those are highlighted on the left hand side of the ledger. Both University Hospitals and the James Cancer Hospital and Research Institute, the bottom line for both of those institutions have improved and you will be hearing more specifically about the Cancer Hospital later in this meeting, so I won't go into detail.

In addition, we have made some progress in dealing with deficits that existed for quite a long time in the College of Business and in Admissions. Both of those deficits have been eliminated over the last year, and we are making significant progress in the College of Humanities and in the University's Telephone Services System.

We continue to make progress in doing our budget reductions, as unpleasant as they are differentially. I think that overall the University's financial position is as well as can be expected in a time of very difficult challenges, both here in Ohio, and nationally. And it will continue to test us as an institution in the months to come. I think the key is that we use this challenge as an opportunity to do those things that we need to continue to do to improve the quality of student life in the academic role of this University in the months ahead. Mr. Chairman, that completes my presentation.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. Shkurti. Any questions of Mr. Shkurti?

(Appendix L for charts on The Ohio State University Mid Year Financial Review, page 869.)

--0--

**WAIVERS OF COMPETITIVE BIDDING REQUIREMENTS  
OCTOBER - DECEMBER 1991**

Resolution No. 92-68

Synopsis: Acceptance of the quarterly report on waivers of competitive bidding requirements is proposed.

WHEREAS the Purchasing Policy of The Ohio State University, adopted by the Board of Trustees on September 7, 1984, provides that the President and/or Vice President for Business and Administration may grant a waiver from competitive bidding in the event of an emergency, when a sufficient economic reason exists, or when the goods or services can be purchased from only a single source, with a report on such waivers to be made quarterly to this Board; and

WHEREAS the Acting Vice President for Business and Administration has submitted a report on waivers of competitive bidding requirements granted for the period of October-December 1991, granting 54 waivers totaling \$3,164,400.00:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the report on waivers of competitive bidding requirements for the period of October-December 1991, is hereby accepted.

Upon motion of Mr. Kessler, seconded by Mr. Barone, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous roll call vote.

(See Appendix LI for chart on Waivers of Competitive Bidding Requirements - October - December 1991, page 875.)

--0--

Mr. Nichols:

Under the tab of Architects in your Board book is the employment of architects and engineers for the College of Business Facilities. During the Spring and Summer of 1991, an internal review team, including the faculty from the College of Business, reviewed 8 different sites as possible locations.

The site that has been identified is bounded by West Lane Avenue on the North, by Tuttle Park Place on the West, Woody Hayes Drive on the South, and the Residence Hall complex on the East. There are two phases to this project; the first phase is hiring an architectural/engineering firm to provide programming, conceptual design, planning and assistance, and fund raising with regards to the scope of the project. There will be a second phase, which may or may not include the firm used in the first phase, but which will provide schematic design, design, development, and construction documents for the two phases of the construction program.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

The plan will involve classroom space, state-of-the-art MBA teaching facilities, meeting rooms, related support spaces, and, of course, classrooms. Total project cost is estimated at \$62 million, of which \$20 million will be fund raising by the College of Business.

Mr. Kessler:

On the selection of the architect -- I think this is a very, very important building. And I want to make sure that this full Board is advised as to what architects you are looking at and how you are proceeding. So we will truly have a national search to get the very best creative architect who can do this very important building.

Mr. Nichols:

The current status, Mr. Kessler, is that there was a request for proposals that went out with regards to the first phase. There is considerable interest in this project, probably more so than any project that I have been involved in in my two years. The preliminary steps on the selection process are starting.

Mr. Kessler:

I would just hope that the Board will be kept informed. Because I think it is very important, not only to the University, but this is going to be such a premier building. I think that it is also very important in the fund raising for the College.

President Gee:

You know that this particular facility is going to represent a portal entry into the University. And I know Jim concurs with me that this is an enormously important building. I will note, though, that we've had astounding interest in it from some, if not the best architectural firms available. And, of course, many of them are Ohio firms and many of them are joined Ohio firms with national firms, so we do have remarkable interest of high quality. But I also believe, Jack, that because of the nature of this that we do need to involve folks a bit earlier, rather than dropping a fait accompli on you. I think that is what Jim is also indicating.

Mr. Nichols:

I am sensitive to that Mr. Kessler.

Mr. Kessler:

You might also want to consider, if you have the time to do it, some type of a competition with architects, so you know exactly what the building is going to look like to get a better feel of it. But that does take more time.

Mr. Teaford:

One thing that may complicate that, Mr. Kessler, is that I understand this will be one of the earlier tests of the Governor's new financial competitive bidding on architect contracts.

--0--



**EMPLOYMENT OF ARCHITECTS/ENGINEERS**

Resolution No. 92-69

**COLLEGE OF BUSINESS FACILITIES**

Synopsis: Authorization to employ architects/engineers for the College of Business Facilities is proposed.

WHEREAS the University desires to employ an architectural/engineering firm to provide programming, planning, conceptual design, and assistance in fundraising to determine the scope of work for the relocation of the College of Business currently housed in Hagerty and Page Halls: and

WHEREAS the subsequent phases of the project will provide schematic design, design development, and construction documents for two phases of construction consisting of office space, classrooms, state-of-the-art MBA teaching facilities, meeting rooms, and related support spaces on the central campus area, with the exact site to be determined during the planning and design phase; and

WHEREAS the total estimated project cost is \$62,000,000.00 (in 1991 dollars), and the total estimated construction cost is \$48,000,000.00 (in 1991 dollars), with funding anticipated from private donations and future State capital appropriations anticipated in the 1995-96 and 1997-98 biennia:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the President and/or Acting Vice President for Business and Administration be authorized to select qualified architectural/engineering firms as necessary for this project and that the fees for these services be negotiated between the firms selected and the State of Ohio, Division of Public Works.

Upon motion of Mr. Barone, seconded by Amb. Wolf, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous roll call vote.

--0--

Mr. Nichols:

Also under the tab of Architects, in your Board book is the employment of architects and engineers for Student Affairs Complex Feasibility Study. This is part of the outfall from the review last year on the Business College site, in conjunction with the Office of Student Affairs.

There was an indication that there needed to be a feasibility study with regards to a new Student Affairs Facility complex, including new Student Union or Unions which are designed to meet the needs of the current and future students. The current facilities: the Ohio Union that we are in was built in the 1950's; and the Drake Union was built in the early 1970's.

This would involve hiring folks that are familiar working in student affairs areas. They would do a complete analysis of the needs and the services needed in that facility, funding models, strategy for construction, and operation and maintenance phases of the project. There would also be site evaluations done as part of this. This estimated cost is \$75,000 to \$125,000 for both phases of the study. That is presented for your consideration. Dr. Spillman is here if there are any questions with regards to the Student Affairs Office.

**EMPLOYMENT OF ARCHITECTS/ENGINEERS**

Resolution No. 92-70

**STUDENT AFFAIRS FACILITIES COMPLEX  
FEASIBILITY STUDY**

Synopsis: Authorization to employ consultants for a Student Affairs facilities complex feasibility study is proposed.

WHEREAS the Office of Student Affairs desires to undertake a study to determine the feasibility of developing a Student Affairs facilities complex, including a new student union, designed to meet the comprehensive needs of current and future students, including the enhancement and delivery of programs and services to students in an environment that is functional and aesthetically invigorating, supports learning, and conveys institutional pride; and

WHEREAS the University desires to employ consultants with demonstrated experience in working with student affairs programs in the assessment of the service and facility needs and priorities of the community and development of viable funding models and strategies for the construction and operation and maintenance phases of the project, and if a decision is made to proceed, assisting in the development of a Program of Requirements for the complex; and

WHEREAS the second phase of the feasibility study will provide site evaluations based on the recommendations of the first phase and provide conceptual drawings and cost estimates for the facility or facilities recommended; and

WHEREAS the total estimated cost for consultant services for both phases of the feasibility study is \$75,000.00 to \$125,000.00, with funding provided from University funds:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the President and/or Acting Vice President for Business and Administration be authorized to select a qualified firm or firms as necessary for this project and that the fees for these services be negotiated between the firm or firms selected and the University.

Upon motion of Mr. Celeste, seconded by Mr. Barone, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous roll call vote.

--0--

Mr. Nichols:

Continuing on under the same tab of Architects, is the employment of architects and engineers for the Feasibility Study on the Columbus Campus People Mover System. The four elements have come together with regards to a growing crunch of parking on campus. The first element involves conflict of surface parking space with new building construction which eliminates the service parking space.

The second element is new buildings. New space on campus does result in additional employees, for example, in research laboratories that will need parking space.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

There has been a steady and constant increase in the last two years with regards to parking, both from in-patients, and out-patients, as well as visitors in the medical complex.

And, finally, there is an increasing use by the neighborhood surrounding the campus proper of 24-hour residential parking, which is now instituted in approximately one-third of those neighborhoods and will probably be instituted in 100 percent of them by the end of this year.

This particular study would review potentials of movement of people on campus, primarily an east-west configuration from West Campus to Main Campus. This would also allow for an evaluation of these potentials, what is often sighted in the media as a monorail. But it would also involve other people mover technologies, as well as light rail and trolley type systems; against, for example, building additional garages of which there will be a number needed if we would do that option; against vastly increasing a bus fleet to move people east to west, or other potential options.

This would be a study that would result in \$50,000 at maximum from Traffic and Parking funds and is presented for your consideration.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. Nichols. Much to our surprise, the question of buses didn't come up in this morning's discussions, but this is some indication that we are aware, too, of the transportation problem on campus.

#### **EMPLOYMENT OF ARCHITECTS/ENGINEERS**

Resolution No. 92-71

#### **COLUMBUS CAMPUS PEOPLE MOVER SYSTEM**

Synopsis: Authorization to employ consultants for a Columbus Campus people mover system feasibility study is proposed.

WHEREAS the University desires to explore alternative solutions to ensure the most effective land use of the Columbus Campus to support the academic mission, to relieve parking and traffic congestion in the central campus area, to facilitate the more effective movement of students, faculty, staff, and visitors around the campus, and to better tie together the various areas of the campus; and

WHEREAS as a part of these overall needs, the University desires to undertake a study to evaluate the extent to which a people mover system could improve land use, parking, and transportation in the central campus; and

WHEREAS the University desires to employ a consulting professional firm or firms to evaluate this need and provide an economical and physical feasibility analysis, a program of requirements, and conceptual design concepts for a people mover system; and

WHEREAS the total estimated cost for these consultant services is \$50,000.00, with funding provided from University funds:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the President and/or Acting Vice President for Business and Administration be authorized to select a qualified firm or firms as necessary for this project and that the fees for these services be negotiated between the firm or firms selected and the University.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Upon motion of Mr. Celeste, seconded by Mr. Colley, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous roll call vote.

--0--

Mr. Nichols:

Under the tab of Construction Bids is the Employment of Architects and Engineers and Request for Construction Bids for the Storm Sewer Separation, Phase I. The University is located in an area of the City of Columbus that is under the old combined sewer system, that is both storm sewer and sanitary sewer runs in the same pipe. There have been some projects already on campus to separate sanitary from storm, this is a continuation of that process, and this is a feeder line to a main trunk that will be discussed later under the Easement Tab in the book.

This particular one involves a 48-acre section of land which is generally the North Central part of the campus, bounded by 18th Avenue on the North, High Street, College Drive and goes as far over as Neil Avenue. This will involve one line, ultimately that will run across the Oval. This will eliminate, effectively, three current combined sewers and will also serve as the new facilities being built on 18th Avenue with regards to the Basic Sciences and Engineering Library, as well as the new Mathematics classrooms and faculty office space. And that is presented for your consideration.

**EMPLOYMENT OF ARCHITECTS/ENGINEERS AND  
REQUEST FOR CONSTRUCTION BIDS**

Resolution No. 92-72

**STORM SEWER SEPARATION, PHASE I**

Synopsis: Authorization to employ architects/engineers for the Storm Sewer Separation, Phase I is proposed.

WHEREAS a new storm sewer system is needed for the Columbus Campus sewer district 9B, a 48 acre section located in the east central part of the Campus with its boundaries at approximately South Oval Drive on the south, West 18th Avenue on the north, High Street and College Road on the east, and Neil Avenue and Bohannon Road on the west; and

WHEREAS this area presently is being drained by three combined sewers and a new storm sewer system is proposed, with the existing sewers being used for wastewater flow, and a new storm sewer branch flowing south and west to the existing City of Columbus main line on West 12th Avenue; and

WHEREAS the University desires to employ an architectural/engineering firm to provide design of a storm sewer separation project for this area, and the total estimated project cost is \$950,000.00, and the total estimated construction cost is \$760,000.00, with funding provided from University funds:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the President and/or Acting Vice President for Business and Administration be authorized to select qualified architectural/engineering firms as necessary for this project and that the fees for these services be negotiated between the firms selected and the University; and

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the President and/or Acting Vice President for Business and Administration be authorized to request construction bids on this project in accordance with established University procedures, and if satisfactory bids are received, to award contracts, with all actions to be reported to this Board at the appropriate time.

Upon motion of Mr. Barone, seconded by Ms. Casto, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous roll call vote.

--0--

**REPORT OF AWARD OF CONTRACTS AND  
ESTABLISHMENT OF CONTINGENCY FUNDS**

Resolution No. 92-73

GOSS LABORATORY ASBESTOS ABATEMENT  
JOHNSTON LABORATORY RENOVATION  
DOAN HALL - IMPROVEMENTS TO WOMEN AND INFANTS PROGRAM  
SULLIVANT HALL RENOVATION (ASBESTOS ABATEMENT)  
NORTH DORMITORIES CHILLER REPLACEMENT, PHASE I

Synopsis: Approval of the Report of Award of Contracts and the Establishment of Contingency Funds for the listed projects is recommended.

WHEREAS resolutions adopted by the Board of Trustees on October 5, 1990 and September 6, 1991 authorized the President and/or Vice President for Business and Administration to request construction bids in accordance with established University procedures, and if satisfactory bids were received to award contracts for Doan Hall - Improvement to Women and Infants Program and North Dormitories Chiller Replacement, Phase I projects.

AND WHEREAS resolutions adopted by the Board of Trustees on July 11, 1986 and October 3, 1991 authorized the President and/or Vice President for Business and Administration to request construction bids in accordance with established University and State of Ohio procedures, and if satisfactory bids were received to recommend the award of contracts for the following projects: Goss Laboratory Asbestos Abatement, Sullivant Hall Renovation (Asbestos Abatement), and Johnston Laboratory Renovation.

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That pursuant to the actions previously authorized by the Board, the Report of Award of Contracts and Establishment of Contingency funds for these projects is hereby approved.

Upon motion of Amb. Wolf, seconded by Mr. Barone, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous roll call vote.

(See Appendix LII for background material and tabulation of bids, page 877.)

--0--

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Mr. Nichols:

This particular easement is one of the more important ones to the University Campus. You will note, from the line of the easement, that it proceeds from the Olentangy River to the north side of the West 12th Avenue parking garage. It will eventually serve not only the entire southern part of the campus, but also all of the City of Columbus. It will run clear out past the fairgrounds at some point. This is a project that is being fully paid for by the City of Columbus, the diameter of this sewer is ten feet and is necessary in the short-term for the construction start of Riffe Hall which will start in late spring or early summer. That is presented for your consideration.

## **EASEMENT**

Resolution No. 92-74

### **CITY OF COLUMBUS**

Synopsis: Authorization to grant a storm sewer easement to the City of Columbus on University property near the intersection of 12th Avenue and Cannon Drive is proposed.

WHEREAS the City of Columbus has requested a 25-year easement consisting of a strip of land approximately 30 feet in width and 1,514 feet in length and a temporary construction easement consisting of an additional strip of land, 30 feet in width adjacent and parallel to the permanent easement for the installation of a storm sewer pipe nine feet in diameter to be located near the intersection of Cannon Drive and 12th Avenue; and

WHEREAS this easement will serve University property; and

WHEREAS the appropriate University offices have recommended that this easement be granted:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the President and/or Acting Vice President for Business and Administration be authorized to request the Deputy Director of Public Works of the Department of Administrative Services to act for and on behalf of the University in developing the necessary legal instruments to provide for this easement.

Upon motion of Mr. Barone, seconded by Amb. Wolf, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous roll call vote.

(See Appendix LIII for map, page 889.)

--0--

## **REVISION OF DEFINITION OF "IMMEDIATE FAMILY" FOR PURPOSE OF SICK LEAVE BENEFIT**

Resolution No. 92-75

Synopsis: Approval of the revision of definition of "Immediate Family" for purpose of sick leave benefit is proposed.

WHEREAS on January 31, 1990, President Edward H. Jennings created the Spousal Equivalency Task Force which recommended that the University implement certain policy changes to recognize domestic partners; and

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

WHEREAS the University has given lengthy consideration and scrutiny to the many issues involving domestic partnership that affect our faculty and staff, with affirmative recommendations put forth by the Faculty Compensation and Benefits Committee, the Staff Advisory Committee, and other ad hoc committees; and

WHEREAS a number of major universities, cities, and municipalities already recognize domestic partnership for coverage under some policies:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That after discussion by the President's Executive Committee and further review by University administration, the policies governing utilization of the sick leave benefit (including bereavement leave) be modified wherein domestic partners are included in the definition of immediate family; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the President and/or Vice President for Human Resources and Relations be hereby authorized and directed to develop and promulgate the appropriate procedural guidelines and forms to implement this policy.

Upon motion of Mr. Celeste, seconded by Mr. Kessler, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution six affirmative votes cast by Messrs. Colley, Celeste, Wolf, Kessler, Barone, and Teaford, and a negative vote cast by Ms. Casto.

--0--

Mr. Stoffel:

You have before you a resolution to approve the re-employment of individuals who have retired under the early retirement incentive programs of the University. As you may recall, the early retirement incentive programs in the past, promulgated by this Board, have had an exclusion of the return of those individuals to be employed by the University in any capacity. And, in as much as the number of these programs have existed now and we are trying to provide or achieve maximum flexibility in human resources for the University, it is felt that at this time that it would be beneficial for the University and individuals to allow for some return on employment of those individuals who have participated in these programs and that it begun pursuant to policies that will be established by University administration.

Mr. Teaford:

I might say that this will help alleviate some of the problems that Dr. Hutchinson talked about, too, because this does make more faculty available.

#### **RE-EMPLOYMENT OF RETIRED INDIVIDUALS**

Resolution No. 92-76

Synopsis: Approval of the re-employment of individuals who have retired under the early retirement incentive programs is proposed.

WHEREAS The Ohio State University adopted the Early Retirement Incentive Programs available under the provisions of the State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio (STRS) and the Public Employees Retirement System of Ohio (PERS) on October 6, 1989; and

WHEREAS the University's policies have been to provide that individuals who retire under those programs would not be eligible for re-employment with the University; and

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

WHEREAS The Ohio State University desires to achieve maximum flexibility in human resources planning and coordination:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That effective immediately, participants in the University's Early Retirement Incentive Programs will not be precluded from University employment; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That such re-employment will be consistent with STRS and PERS law and the policy guidelines as set forth in Appendix LIV for faculty, and Appendix LV for non-faculty staff.

Upon motion of Amb. Wolf, seconded by Ms. Casto, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous roll call vote.

(See Appendix LIV and LV for Policy Guidelines, page 891.)

President Gee:

If I could just note here in the public meeting, that we have received a resolution from the University Senate regarding the elimination of the mandatory retirement age, prior to the legally designated time. That has come to me and I would like to share it with the Board today. I want to have a chance to review that resolution a bit more carefully, but certainly will have a recommendation prior to the time that anyone is put in jeopardy on this in case there is some question about that.

--0--

### **WORLD CUP 1994 SOCCER AGREEMENTS**

Resolution No. 92-77

Synopsis: Authorization to enter into agreements with the U.S. and Ohio organizing committees to provide for the use of Ohio Stadium for games of the 1994 World Cup soccer championship is proposed.

WHEREAS the 1994 World Cup international soccer championship will be held in the United States under the auspices of the Federation Internationale de Football Association and under the jurisdiction of World Cup USA 1994, Inc. (the U.S. Organizing Committee); and

WHEREAS World Cup Ohio 1994, Inc. (the Ohio Organizing Committee), in consultation with The Ohio State University, has submitted a bid for Ohio to be one of the sites for World Cup 1994, with three to six games to be played in Ohio Stadium; and

WHEREAS for this bid to be considered further, the U.S. Organizing Committee requires an executed agreement providing for the use of Ohio Stadium for these games; and

WHEREAS the University fully supports and encourages the selection of Ohio as a venue for World Cup 1994 and is willing to make Ohio Stadium available for this purpose, so long as the University is guaranteed that it will not be responsible for any costs, including those for renovation, operation, and restoration of Ohio Stadium, for these events, and



February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

WHEREAS the appropriate University officials, including the Director of Athletics, recommend that the University enter into the necessary agreements with World Cup USA 1994 and World Cup Ohio 1994 to provide for the use of Ohio Stadium for these events in accordance with the general terms outlined above:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University hereby expresses its support for World Cup Ohio 1994's bid to hold three to six games of the 1994 World Cup international soccer championship in Ohio Stadium so long as and only to the extent that the University is guaranteed that it shall not bear responsibility for any costs incurred for the use of Ohio Stadium or other University facilities for these events; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the President and/or Acting Vice President for Business and Administration be authorized to enter into agreements with World Cup USA 1994 and World Cup Ohio 1994, and any other necessary agreements, upon the general terms and conditions outlined above and upon any other terms and conditions deemed to be in the best interest of the University.

Upon motion of Ms. Casto, seconded by Mr. Celeste, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous roll call vote.

(See Appendix LVI for background material, page 895.)

--0--

#### **REVISION OF THE POLICY OF PURCHASING**

Resolution No. 92-78

Synopsis: Authorization that the University's Purchasing Policy be amended is proposed.

WHEREAS it is the policy of The Ohio State University to solicit competitive bids for University purchases in all cases where the best interest of the University will be served by such competition; and

WHEREAS there is a need to revise the University's Purchasing Policy, which was adopted by the Board of Trustees on September 7, 1984, to reflect current economic conditions by increasing the threshold amount requiring competitive bidding from \$5,000.00 to \$10,000.00 for the purchase of equipment, materials, and supplies, and from \$10,000.00 to \$20,000.00 for the purchase of Services:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the Purchasing Policy of The Ohio State University be revised as follows, effective immediately:

1. Under the direction of the Vice President for Business and Administration, the Office of Business Services shall have the responsibility and requisite authority for the purchase of equipment, materials, supplies, and services for the University.

2. Except as provided below, all equipment, materials, supplies, and services shall be purchased through solicitation of competitive bids or proposals except where such equipment, materials, supplies, or services are purchased pursuant to Sections 4115.31 to 4115.35 and 5147.07 of the Revised Code, or where the amount of such purchase of equipment, materials, supplies is less than ten thousand dollars or where the purchase of such services is less than twenty thousand dollars. In addition, the purchase of any combination of equipment, materials, supplies, and services of twenty thousand dollars or more shall be made through solicitation of competitive bids or proposals. The above threshold amounts notwithstanding, the University may require competitive bidding for purchases below these threshold amounts if it determines that such bidding is in the best interest of the University. Contracts shall be awarded to the lowest and best bidder on each item. In accordance with policies and procedures established by the Office of Business and Administration, the University may accept or reject any or all bids or proposals in whole or by item.

3. The President and/or Vice President for Business and Administration may grant a waiver from competitive bidding when he or she determines that an emergency or a sufficient economic reason exists, or that the equipment, materials, supplies, or service can be purchased only from a single supplier ("sole source").

4. In compliance with Section 125.081 of the Revised Code, the University shall set aside a number of purchases each year for bidding by certified minority businesses only. The bidding procedures for such contracts shall be the same as for all other contracts except that: 1) only minority business enterprises certified by the State of Ohio Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator shall be qualified to submit bids and 2) the cost of products and services may not exceed the estimated market price by more than approximately 10 percent. If bids are rejected because of this cost consideration, the purchase shall be offered again for bid by all interested vendors in accordance with standard bidding procedures.

5. This policy shall not apply to employment or reemployment of teaching or administrative personnel; the acquisition of objects of fine and decorative art, pursuant to the policy adopted by this Board on December 8, 1983; the purchase of supercomputing equipment, pursuant to the policy adopted by this Board on October 3, 1986; or purchases made through the University Hospital Consortium, Inc., pursuant to the policy adopted by this board on October 3, 1986.

6. This applies to all funds administered by The Ohio State University.

Upon motion of Mr. Barone, seconded by Ms. Casto, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous roll call vote.

(See Appendix LVII for background material, page 899.)

--0--

Mr. Scott:

Mr. Chairman, this resolution has to do with a requirement of law in instances where the University reaches impasse in any of its negotiations with various labor organizations. In this case, it happens to be the International Association of Fire Fighters.

What has transpired over the last several months has been a long drawn out negotiation process including items regarding work schedules, shift selection, over-time, economic, as well as other kinds of issues. The two parties simply could not resolve those issues, and under statute we have reached an impasse, and move next to a fact finding process.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

We do have the fact finders report and while there were many comments by the fact finder that the University find totally acceptable, there were several that we felt was not acceptable. In that light, the recommendation is that the University Board, by Statute, must take action and the recommendation of the Administration is that we reject the fact finders report.

I should add that if the Board approves our recommendation then the process means that we resume negotiations and hopefully can resolve these questions at the table.

Mr. Teaford:

Thank you, Mr. Scott.

## **INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF FIRE FIGHTERS**

Resolution No. 92-79

Synopsis: Rejection of a fact-finder's report regarding contract negotiations with Local 2867, International Association of Fire Fighters (IAFF) is proposed.

WHEREAS the University has engaged in good faith bargaining with the IAFF since November 1991 regarding renewal of its collective bargaining agreement which expired January 31, 1992; and

WHEREAS the University and IAFF have reached impasse and pursuant to a procedure mandated by statute, a University representative met with IAFF representatives and a fact-finder to attempt to resolve remaining issues; and

WHEREAS the fact-finder has issued a set of recommendations which include, among other features, a 4 percent wage increase beginning February 1, 1992, a 4 percent wage increase to be effective February 1, 1993, and a \$500.00 signing bonus which the University finds to be unacceptable in light of the current budgetary constraints:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the fact-finder's report be rejected.

Upon motion of Amb. Wolf, seconded by Mr. Barone, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous roll call vote.

--0--

## **ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE REPORT**

Ms. Casto:

The Dependent Care Commission was created in July 1990 by Frederick Hutchinson, Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs, to commemorate the 20th anniversary of child care on The Ohio State University campus. The report from the Commission was released in August. Two members of the Commission, Bonnie S. Kantor and Judy Fountain, provided an overview of the Commission and its work.

Through surveys of students, staff, and faculty, important information has been obtained about the care giving needs of University members. Using the findings, the Commission made four board recommendations including University policies, information dissemination, cost effective services, and an advisory committee.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Implementation of these recommendations enhances the work and educational environment for all and assures a leadership position for The Ohio State University as it moves in the 21st century.

Our second report was from Dr. Richard D. Altick, Regents Professor Emeritus of The Ohio State University. He is the author of sixteen books, five of them published since his retirement from the English Department in 1982. His last book, *The Presence of the Present: Topics of the Day in the Victorian Novel*, was published by The Ohio State University Press last spring, and has just won the Christian Gauss Award. The Christian Gauss Award is given annually by Phi Beta Kappa for the best book of literary scholarship or criticism, and is the most prestigious prize in its field.

Professor Altick, a world renowned scholar of Victorian literature and culture, spoke about the book and the vital and important role the University has played in supporting research and the publication of its results.

We have several resolutions on the agenda that need approval:

**REPRINTED STATUTES APPLYING TO THE UNIVERSITY, BYLAWS OF THE  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES, AND RULES OF THE UNIVERSITY FACULTY**

Resolution No. 92-80

Synopsis: Approval of the reprinted Statutes Applying to the University, Bylaws of the Board of Trustees, and Rules of the University Faculty is proposed.

WHEREAS the publication entitled Statutes Applying to the University, Bylaws of the Board of Trustees, and Rules of the University Faculty has been reprinted to conform to the changes approved by the Board of Trustees since the last date of publication in March 1987; and

WHEREAS Section 111.15 of the Ohio Revised Code requires state-assisted institutions of higher education to file the current rules and regulations which have been adopted or promulgated by the Board of Trustees:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the reprinted text of the Statutes Applying to the University, Bylaws of the Board of Trustees, and Rules of the University Faculty dated February 1992, be adopted as the official publication of the Board of Trustees.

Upon motion of Ms. Casto, seconded by Mr. Barone, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous voice vote.

--0--

**HONORARY DEGREE**

Resolution No. 92-81

Synopsis: Approval of awarding an honorary degree to Warren E. Burger is proposed.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

WHEREAS pursuant to paragraph (C) of rule 3335-3-03 of the Administrative Code, the President, after consultation with the Steering Committee of the University Senate, recommends to the Board of Trustees awarding of an honorary degree as listed below:

Warren E. Burger

Doctor of Laws

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the above honorary degree be awarded in accordance with the recommendation at a time convenient to the University and the recipient.

Upon motion of Ms. Casto, seconded by Mr. Barone, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous voice vote.

--0--

#### **DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES - WINTER QUARTER COMMENCEMENT**

Resolution No. 92-82

Synopsis: Approval of the degrees and certificates for Winter Quarter Commencement is proposed.

WHEREAS pursuant to paragraph (E) of rule 3335-1-06 of the Administrative Code, the Board has authority for the issuance of degrees and certificates; and

WHEREAS the faculties of the colleges and schools shall transmit, in accordance with rule 3335-9-29 of the Administrative Code, for approval by the Board of Trustees the names of persons who have completed degree and certificate requirements; and

WHEREAS the College of Social Work has recommended that Daniel Z. Alavi be awarded a Bachelor of Science in Social Work degree posthumously:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the degrees and certificates be conferred on March 20, 1992, to those persons who have completed the requirements for their respective degrees and certificates and are recommended by the colleges and schools, and that Daniel Z. Alavi be awarded the above named degree, posthumously, and that the names of those persons awarded degrees and certificates be included in the minutes of this meeting.

Upon motion of Ms. Casto, seconded by Mr. Barone, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous voice vote.

--0--

#### **PERSONNEL ACTIONS**

Resolution No. 92-83

RESOLVED, That the personnel actions as recorded in the Personnel Budget Records of the University since the December 6, 1991 meeting of the Board, including the following Appointment, Appointment of Chairpersons, Reappointment of Chairperson, Professional Improvement Leaves--Cancellation, Professional Improvement Leave--Change in Dates, Emeritus Titles, and Medical Staff Appointments and Reappointments (The Arthur G. James Cancer Hospital and Research Institute) as detailed in the University Budget be approved.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

#### Appointment

Name: LINDA TOM  
Title: Vice President  
Office: Human Resources and Relations  
Effective: February 15, 1992  
Salary: \$140,004.00  
Present Position: Corporate Director of Staffing and Human Resource Programs,  
Rhone-Poulenc Rorer, Fort Washington, PA

#### Appointment of Chairpersons

February 1, 1992 through June 30, 1996

Neurology

Jerry R. Mendell

March 1, 1992 through June 30, 1993

Civil Engineering

Tien H. Wu\*

#### Reappointment of Chairperson

July 1, 1992 through June 30, 1996

Family Relations and Human Development

Albert J. Davis

\*Acting Chair

#### Professional Improvement Leaves--Cancellation

EUGENE JONES, Associate Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology, effective Winter Quarter and Spring Quarter 1992.

GALA L. WALKER, Associate Professor, Department of East Asian Languages and Literatures, effective Autumn Quarter 1991, Winter Quarter and Spring Quarter 1992.

#### Professional Improvement Leave--Change in Dates

HOWARD P. FINK, Professor, College of Law, change leave from January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992, to January 1, 1992 through June 30, 1992.

#### Emeritus Titles

WILLIAM R. WALLACE, College of Dentistry -- Administration, with the title Dean and Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

DAVID G. CORNWELL, College of Medicine -- Administration, with the title Associate Dean and Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

JOHN E. ANDREAS, Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

ALBERT B. BISHOP, Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

VIRGIL E. BLANKE, Department of Educational Policy and Leadership, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

PHILIP M. CARROLL, Department of Labor Education and Research Service, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

JOHN C. CHAMBERS, Department of Management Sciences, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

RALPH T. COMPTON, JR., Department of Electrical Engineering, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

MARION L. CREMER, Department of Human Nutrition and Food Management, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

ROCCO D. D'ANGELO, College of Social Work, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

SAMUEL L. DIXON, College of Social Work, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

RAYMOND W. DOSKOTCH, College of Pharmacy, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

WILLIAM D. DOWLING, Department of Educational Studies: Humanities, Science, Technological, and Vocational, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

MOJMIR DRVOTA, Department of Photography and Cinema, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective February 1, 1992.

WARREN W. EASON, Department of Economics, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

JOHN B. GABEL, Department of English, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

FRANK F. GIBSON, Department of Finance, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

KENNETH M. HANSON, Department of Physiology, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

FRANK L. HIMES, Department of Agronomy, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

PHILIP B. HOLLANDER, Department of Pharmacology, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective February 1, 1992.

LLOYD A. HORROCKS, Department of Medical Biochemistry, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

WILLIAM E. HUNT, Department of Surgery (Neurological), with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

GENE A. ISLER, Department of Animal Science, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

HOWARD N. LAFEVER, Department of Agronomy (OARDC), with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

MARLIN L. LANGUIS, Department of Educational Theory and Practice, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

A. JOHN MEROLA, Department of Medical Biochemistry, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

DAVID L. MOFFATT, Department of Electrical Engineering, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

WILLIAM MOORE, JR., Department of Educational Policy and Leadership, Professor Emeritus, effective February 1, 1992.

ZOLTAN A. NEMETH, Department of Civil Engineering, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

RICHARD C. O'BRIEN, College of Dentistry (Diagnostic Services), with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

RICHARD N. PRATTE, Department of Educational Policy and Leadership, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

JOSEPH J. QUARANTA, Department of Educational Theory and Practice, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

KEITH E. RICHARDSON, Department of Medical Biochemistry, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

RICHARD J. SHUMWAY, Department of Educational Theory and Practice, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

RUSSELL V. SKAVARIL, Department of Molecular Genetics, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

JOHN L. TEWKSBURY, Department of Educational Theory and Practice, with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

CHARLES F. WOOLEY, Department of Internal Medicine (Cardiology), with the title Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

MARVIN R. ZAHNISER, Department of History, with the title Professor Emeritus, change effective date from January 1, 1991, to January 1, 1992.

ROBERT R. BARGAR, Department of Educational Policy and Leadership, with the title Associate Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.



February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

JAMES A. BARTOS, Department of Management Sciences, with the title Associate Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

JOHN P. BENDEKOVIC, College of Social Work, with the title Associate Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

JOHN M. DELPHIA, Department of Cell Biology, Neurobiology and Anatomy, with the title Associate Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

DONALD W. GOOD, Department of English, with the title Associate Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

LOWELL E. HEDGES, Department of Agricultural Education, with the title Associate Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

ROBERT C. JONES, Department of English, with the title Associate Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

EDGAR MOORE, Department of Labor Education and Research Service, with the title Associate Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

OTTO SANTOS, JR., Department of Educational Studies: Humanities, Science, Technological, and Vocational, with the title Associate Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

JAMES M. SWEENEY, School of Health, Physical Education and Recreation, with the title Associate Professor Emeritus, effective March 1, 1992.

WILLIAM A. WELKER, College of Dentistry (Restorative and Prosthetic Dentistry), with the title Associate Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

BERNARD A. BLOCK, University Libraries, with the title Assistant Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

FRANK M. PIERSON, School of Allied Medical Professions, with the title Assistant Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

ROBERT D. SEDORIS, School of Music (Lima Campus), with the title Assistant Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

PEGGY D. WILSON, School of Allied Medical Professions, with the title Assistant Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

GEORGE K. ZULANDT, Department of English (Mansfield Campus), with the title Assistant Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

SAMUEL NATOLI, College of Dentistry (Community Dentistry), with the title Clinical Assistant Professor Emeritus, effective January 1, 1992.

Medical Staff Appointment (The Arthur G. James Cancer Hospital and Research Institute)

CAVAZOS, FILIBERTO, M.D., Associate Attending Staff, Pathology  
Medical Staff Reappointment (The Arthur G. James Cancer Hospital and Research Institute)

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

July 1, 1991 through June 30, 1993

GARTH F. ESSIG, M.D., Associate Attending, OB/GYN

Upon motion of Ms. Casto, seconded by Mr. Celeste, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous roll call vote.

--0--

## **RESOLUTIONS IN MEMORIAM**

Resolution No. 92-84

Synopsis: Approval of the following Resolutions in Memoriam are proposed.

RESOLVED, That the Board adopt the following Resolutions in Memoriam and that the President be requested to convey a copy to the families of the deceased.

Mr. Teaford:

It is always particularly difficult for the Members of the Board when we have to deal with the passing of one of our own. Len Immke was an extraordinary Member of this Board. His wisdom in difficult times was always apparent, his generosity to this University community was often not apparent because he was such a modest man who gave in the spirit that people should give. One of the best examples of that, and you can remember this next fall when you see the Ohio State marching band go on the field, know that Len Immke paid for all of those uniforms and never wanted any recognition of that fact during his life.

On behalf of this University and this community and particularly those members of this Board who served with Len, I want to give the expression of this Board of its deepest sympathy to Mrs. Immke. And I would like to give her that now.

Leonard J. Immke, Jr.

The Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University expresses its sorrow upon the death on December 6, 1991, of Leonard J. Immke, Jr., former chairperson and member of the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University.

Mr. Immke was born in Cleveland, Ohio, and relocated to Columbus in the early 1960s where he established himself as truly one of the outstanding business and community leaders in Central Ohio. Mr. Immke served on the Board of Trustees of Ohio State University from November 1978 through May 1979 and again from May 1980 through May 1989.

Mr. Immke's support of and involvement with The Ohio State University extended well beyond his appointments as a Trustee. He served as a member of the President's Club until his death. He also served as a Director of the University Development Fund Board and chaired several Board of Trustees committees, including the fiscal affairs committee and the educational affairs committee. At the time of his death he was serving as a member of The Ohio State University's Hospitals Board.

In addition to this service, in 1985 Mr. Immke established the Leonard J. Immke, Jr. and Charlotte L. Immke Chair in Cancer Research. He also supported a number of University projects, including professional studio training in the visual arts, Student Athlete Grant-In-Aid, and various projects in the Colleges of Human Ecology and Engineering. Through his generosity, Mr Immke also provided The Ohio State Marching Band with new uniforms in 1988.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Mr. Immke was owner and president of Len Immke Buick, Immke Crestview Cadillac, Immke Northwest Honda, and Immke Circle Leasing and Acura of Dayton. In addition to his automobile businesses, Mr Immke held several real estate interests and was a member of the Dublin Associates, co-founder and director of Wendy's International Inc., and Columbus Auto Dealers Association.

Mr. Immke also held positions in numerous professional and civic organizations in Columbus. He served as honorary chairman of the National Kidney Foundation of Central Ohio Inc., and as a member of the Mayor's Bicentennial Commission. In addition, he had been a trustee of Children's Hospital and the Columbus Symphony Orchestra, and a past director of the Columbus Cancer Clinic, Children's Hospital, and the Center of Science and Industry. He also was a member of Banc Ohio and the Ohio Negro College Fund.

On behalf of the University community, the Board of Trustees expresses to the family its deep sympathy and sense of understanding in their loss. It was directed that this resolution be inscribed upon the minutes of the Board of Trustees and that a copy be tendered to the family as an expression of the Board's heartfelt sympathy.

Ms. Casto:

In addition, the Board of Trustees would like to express its sorrow upon the passing December 17, 1991, of Dr. Elizabeth D. Gee, Associate Professor in the College of Education and Associate Vice President of Development at The Ohio State University.

One can't help but feel a sense of warmth when thinking of Dr. Gee. Her many contributions in the advancement of women's issues including education, home, and community have helped inspire the lives of many. She was a motivating force that challenged the minds and lives of many people that she knew, as well as the people that only knew of her, and in her memory, we of The Ohio State University Family hope to foster the vision and the spirit that she represented. And we have this to give to you, Mr. President.

Elizabeth D. Gee

The Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University expresses its sorrow upon the death on December 17, 1991, of Dr. Elizabeth D. Gee, Associate Professor in the College of Education and Associate to the Vice President for Development at The Ohio State University.

Elizabeth Gee was born in Santa Monica, California. She received her B.A. from the University of Utah, in 1968, her M.A. in History in 1979 from Brigham Young University, and her Ed.D. in Interdisciplinary Studies in 1985 from West Virginia University.

Prior to coming to Ohio State in September 1990, Dr. Gee served as Senior Research Associate, Women Studies Program at the University of Colorado at Boulder and as Senior Research Associate and Adjunct Professor at the Center for Health Ethics and Policy, University of Colorado at Denver. She had also served as a Special Assistant to the University of Colorado Foundation, an Adjunct Professor in the School of Nursing at the University of Colorado at Denver, and Director of Programs at the Alexandria Institute.

Dr. Gee became well known for her research and teaching in the areas of professional and biomedical ethics, as well as various gender-related issues. She authored numerous academic articles and presented on topics ranging from engineering ethics to gender-related attorney negotiating styles, from the importance of community foundations to contemporary issues regarding breast cancer. Numerous excerpts from her personal journals have been published.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Dr. Gee dedicated herself to promoting an increased recognition of women's contributions to the home, workplace, community and country. She stimulated and encouraged others to challenge themselves intellectually and morally on social issues, and she achieved great respect as a teacher, advisor, and mentor. She continuously advocated on behalf of health awareness, particularly cancer awareness, and spoke frequently with cancer survivors, their families and friends. Her willingness to share her personal experience with cancer was an inspiration to those who knew her and countless people she had never met.

In addition, Elizabeth Gee was extensively involved in numerous civic and professional organizations. She held positions with the American Educational Research Association, the Colorado Women's Studies Association, the Hasting Center for Ethics, Council on Ethics in Economics, the American Lung Association, The Ohio State University Commission on Women, The Ohio State University Hospitals Service Board, and the Steering Committee of the Supreme Court of Ohio/Ohio State Bar Association Joint Commission on Gender Fairness. Her many honors included membership in Phi Kappa Phi, Phi Alpha Theta, Kappa Delta Pi, and Phi Delta Kappa.

On behalf of the University, the Board of Trustees expresses to the family its deep sympathy and sense of understanding in its loss. It was directed that this resolution be inscribed upon the minutes of the Board of Trustees and that a copy be tendered to the family as an expression of the Board's heartfelt sympathy.

Ms. Casto:

We are also recommending Resolutions in Memoriam for:

Francis R. Calderwood

The Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University expresses its sorrow upon the death on December 4, 1991, of Francis R. Calderwood, Associate Professor Emeritus of the Cooperative Extension Service.

Mr. Calderwood was born May 22, 1920, in New Castle, Pennsylvania. He served in the military service from July 1942 until November 1945. He then completed his B.S. in Agriculture at The Ohio State University in 1951. He also completed study at the Merrill-Palmer Institute of Human Development and Family Life in Detroit, Michigan. He received his Master's Degree in 1964.

Mr. Calderwood began his Extension experience in Ohio when he joined the faculty at The Ohio State University in 1951 as an Associate County Extension Agent in Cuyahoga County. On July 1, 1958 he became the County 4-H Agent in Cuyahoga County and continued in this position until his retirement in 1983.

Among the many projects Mr. Calderwood guided as an Extension 4-H Agent, were programs based on the needs of people in the large metropolitan community of Cleveland. His efforts concentrated on one of the first urban 4-H programs in the nation. He expanded the leadership in 4-H programs to include churches, Police Athletic Leagues, Juvenile Detention Centers, neighborhood centers, other youth groups and street clubs. He adapted and initiated camping programs to meet the needs of inner-city youth. He gave leadership to the first 4-H opportunity camp in Ohio for the low income-disadvantaged youth. Francis effectively used mass media of radio and TV to create awareness among parents, youth, and 4-H advisors about the 4-H program opportunities.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Mr. Calderwood received recognition from the National Association of Extension 4-H Agents, from the Ohio Cooperative Extension Agents Association, and from the 4-H advisors of Cuyahoga County. He is known nationwide for his highly innovative approaches to reaching young people in highly urbanized areas. Also, he had been recognized in his community and in his county as a leader in his church, with civic groups, and with higher education associations in the county.

Mr. Calderwood's contributions in providing excellent Extension educational programs during his career earned him the respect and admiration of his co-workers and many thousands of Ohio citizens throughout the length and breadth of Cuyahoga County.

On behalf of the University community, the Board of Trustees expresses its sympathy and understanding to family and friends of Francis R. Calderwood. It was directed that this resolution be inscribed in the minutes of the Board of Trustees and that a copy be tendered to the family as an expression of the Board's heartfelt sympathy.

James R. Hull

The Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University expresses its sorrow upon the death on November 2, 1991, of James R. Hull, Assistant Professor Emeritus, in the College of Dentistry (Orthodontics).

A native of Greenfield, Ohio, Dr. James Hull was involved with The Ohio State University for nearly fifty years as a student, faculty and strong supporter of its programs. As a student, he became a basketball All-American in 1939, captain of the basketball team that placed second in the first NCAA tournament and was that tournament's first scoring leader. He was elected to membership in Sphinx Honor Society and Psi Omega dental fraternity and served as a justice of Student Court.

After graduating from the College of Dentistry in 1943 and serving two and one-half years as an officer in the Navy, he returned to Columbus and opened his office for the practice of orthodontics. He joined the faculty of the College of Dentistry in 1946 and served as a valued part-time instructor for 41 years until his retirement as Assistant Professor Emeritus in 1987.

Dr. Hull's devotion to his students is especially noteworthy. He was a tremendous inspiration to hundreds of dental students and graduate orthodontics residents. The students looked forward to his practical approach to managing a dental office, his devotion to his patients and his ability to instill a responsibility to one's community as a professional. He maintained his support to his students through serving on the board of the dental fraternity and taking leadership roles in the profession of dentistry.

His devotion to Ohio State was seen in the service he provided. He was alumni representative to the Athletic Council, a two-term member of the Alumni Association Board of Directors, second vice-president of the Alumni Association, Alumni Advisor Council representative, Varsity "O" (where he served as President), Presidents Club, National Campaign Committee, and Development Fund Board of Directors. He is one of the 22 charter members of The Ohio State Athletic Hall of Fame and has been honored by Ohio State with a Distinguished Service Award in 1969 and a Centennial Award in 1970.

Dr. Hull was also active in community service. Some of his activities included: being a former president of the Columbus Rotary Club, Friends of the Columbus Public Library, Columbus Club, and University Club.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

On behalf of the University, the Board of Trustees expresses to the family its deep sympathy and sense of understanding in their loss. It was directed that this resolution be inscribed upon the minutes of the Board of Trustees and that a copy be tendered to the family as an expression of the Board's heartfelt sympathy.

Ruth B. Irwin

The Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University expresses its sorrow upon the death on December 23, 1991, of Ruth Beckey Irwin, Professor Emeritus in the Department of Speech and Hearing Science.

Dr. Irwin was born in Linwood, Kansas, on January 8, 1906. She received her B.S. in Education in 1929 from Emporia State University, Emporia, Kansas. She received her M.A. from the University of Iowa, and a Ph.D. in 1940 from the University of Southern California.

In 1949 Dr. Ruth Irwin was appointed to the faculty of The Ohio State University and rose from the rank of assistant professor to professor. From 1973-1976 she served as Director of the Speech and Hearing Science Section in the Department of Communication. In addition to her teaching at The Ohio State University, Dr. Irwin taught at William Penn College, Nebraska State Teachers College, and Ohio University where she directed the speech clinic. In 1945 she became the first supervisor of Speech and Hearing Therapy for the State of Ohio and served in that post for four years.

Dr. Irwin received many honors during her career. She received the Distinguished Alumna Award in 1979 from Emporia State University. She was elected a fellow in the American Speech and Hearing Association (ASHA), the American Psychological Association (APA) and in the Ohio Speech and Hearing Association (OSHA). She received the Honors of the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association for the part she played in the development of the certification procedures and philosophies that have enabled ASHA to develop strong certification procedures. Dr. Irwin was the first individual affiliated with a university that espoused the importance of the public school clinician. She supported their cause through publications, including the first textbook that dealt with speech and hearing therapy in the public school. Dr. Irwin was listed in Who's Who in the Midwest, Who's Who in Education, Who's Who of American Women, Leaders in American Science, Leaders in Education, and the Dictionary of International Biography.

Dr. Irwin leaves a rich legacy to the field, to the students whom she instructed and advised, and to all those who knew her as a friend. She was a person who was instrumental in shaping the lives of many; a person who held high standards and gave substantial support and encouragement to others who endeavored to meet those standards.

On behalf of the University, the Board of Trustees expresses to the family its deep sympathy. It was directed that this resolution be inscribed upon the minutes of the Board of Trustees and that a copy be tendered to the family as an expression of the Board's heartfelt sympathy.

Josaphat B. Kubayanda

The Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University expresses its sorrow upon the death on November 28, 1991, of Josaphat (Joe) Kubayanda, Associate Professor and former chairperson of the Department of Spanish and Portuguese.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Josaphat Kubayanda was born on January 13, 1944, in Nandom, Ghana. He attended Catholic mission schools for his primary and secondary education, and won a scholarship to the University of Ghana in Legon, where he obtained an honors degree in French in 1970. Two years later, he completed a second bachelor's degree in Spanish at the University of London, where he also received his M. Phil. in 1974. In 1981, he received his doctorate in Spanish from the University of Washington (St. Louis), where he was a recipient of the Bryant Fellowship for outstanding graduate work.

During his nine years as a faculty member at The Ohio State University, Dr. Kubayanda served as the Director of the Spanish Language Program before becoming the Acting Chairperson of the Department of Romance Languages and Literatures. When this department was divided into two separate academic units, he continued to serve with distinction as Chair of the newly created Department of Spanish and Portuguese. His brilliant record as a dynamic, caring teacher was reflected by the fact that he received the Alumni Distinguished Teaching Award and the Arts and Sciences Outstanding Teacher Award of The Ohio State University, and the Jaime Escalante Award for Extraordinary Teaching from the American Association for Higher Education. Even years after they had taken Spanish or Comparative Studies courses with Dr. Kubayanda, many students returned to ask for his advice and counsel. As faculty advisor for the All Africa Students' Association, he was always available whenever members of the organization needed his help or advice. In addition to his very generous academic involvement with students, he served as associate editor of the journal *Research in African Literatures*, as member of the African Literature Division and the Delegate Assembly of the Modern Language Association of America, and as a member of the executive committee of the African Literature Association. He also served as a consultant reader for a number of professional journals and publishing houses.

Dr. Kubayanda published numerous articles on minority discourse, the Latin American novel, the literature of dictatorship, Afro-Hispanic literary relationships, and recently published his first book, *The Poet's Africa*; he had also completed two highly praised book length manuscripts, *Literature and Dictatorship in Africa and Latin America*, and *Nicolas Guillen*, which will be published in the near future by major university presses.

As a result of his infectious enthusiasm and his wide-ranging knowledge of literature and critical theory, Dr. Kubayanda brought undergraduate and graduate students as well as colleagues into contact with the latest developments in such areas as minority discourse, orality, gender studies, and alternative cultural perspectives. Even at his relatively young age, he had become a trusted mentor of students and younger colleagues. A gentle and principled man, Dr. Kubayanda brought a remarkable intellectual generosity and human warmth to all his contacts with people within the university community.

On behalf of the University, the Board of Trustees expresses to the family its sense of understanding in their loss. It was directed that this resolution be inscribed upon the minutes of the Board of Trustees and that a copy be tendered to the family as an expression of the Board's heartfelt sympathy.

James R. McCoy

The Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University expresses its sorrow upon the death on December 15, 1991, of James R. McCoy, Dean Emeritus in the College of Business.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

James R. McCoy was born April 25, 1910, in Cambridge, Ohio. He received a Bachelor of Science (1934) and a Master in Business Administration degree (1936) from The Ohio State University, majoring in accounting. Dean McCoy was associated with Ohio State for 37 years. He taught at the University of Iowa for one year before joining the Ohio State faculty in 1937. He returned to Ohio State after military leave from 1941 to 1946 when he was discharged with the rank of major. In 1946, Dean McCoy became a certified public accountant and was promoted to associate professor; in 1950, he became a full professor. He served as Chairperson of the Department of Accounting from 1956-59. In 1959, he became Dean of the College of Commerce and Business Administration, serving in that capacity until his retirement in 1974.

Dean McCoy was a past president of the Columbus Chapter of the Ohio Society of Certified Public Accountants and served as director and, in 1961, as district vice-president of the National Association of Accountants. He was a member of the American Accounting Association, an associate member of the Controllers Institute of America, as well as honorary and professional collegiate associations.

Dean McCoy was co-author of Introduction to Accounting, editor of The Ohio Certified Public Accountant, and on the editorial advisory board of the Journal of Accountancy, 1954-57. He served on many university committees and was active in civic affairs. At various times, Dean McCoy served on the Council of Instruction, the Faculty Council, Athletic Council, and as the faculty representative to the Western Conference. He was active in Rotary and the United Appeals board of trustees.

During his tenure as dean, the College underwent a major reorganization. In 1972, Dean McCoy formed the Business Administration Advisory Council, dedicated to fostering communication between business and higher education. Under his leadership, the graduate and undergraduate curricula was steadily upgraded to include more courses in mathematics, statistics, computer programming, and an integrative capstone undergraduate business policy course. Upon his retirement, the James R. McCoy Scholarship Fund was endowed for deserving students in the College of Business. In recognition of his long and meritorious career at The Ohio State University, Dean McCoy was awarded the University Distinguished Service Award in 1978.

On behalf of the University, the Board of Trustees expresses to the family its deep sympathy and sense of understanding in their loss. It was directed that this resolution be inscribed upon the minutes of the Board of Trustees and that a copy be tendered to the family as an expression of the Board's heartfelt sympathy.

Philip A. Renner

The Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University expresses its sorrow upon the death on December 13, 1991, of Philip A. Renner, Instructor Emeritus in the Department of Poultry Science.

Philip A. Renner was born September 26, 1941, in Columbus, Ohio. He received his B.S. at The Ohio State University in 1963. He taught Vocational Agriculture at Centerburg High School, Centerburg, Ohio for one year. Mr. Renner joined the Department of Poultry Science, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, Wooster, as a technical assistant in 1965 and served as the manager of the Turkey Research Center. In 1968 he was promoted to the faculty rank of Instructor.



February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Mr. Renner was active in research and extension. He was author or co-author of 23 peer reviewed scientific publications, 7 abstracts, and 64 semi-scientific and popular articles. He was coordinator of the Ohio Rabbit School from 1979 until his retirement on June 30, 1991. During his tenure as coordinator, the Ohio Rabbit School became recognized as the premier rabbit educational program in the country. Mr. Renner was recognized for his contributions to the rabbit industry by being elected to the Ohio Rabbit Breeders Hall of Fame in 1986.

Mr. Renner was very active in community activities. He twice served as president of the local parent teachers association and was a member of numerous community organizations. He also served as a judge at local and regional science fairs and poultry and rabbit shows. Mr. Renner was a member of The Poultry Science Association, American Rabbit Breeders Association, Ohio Rabbit Breeders, and Gamma Sigma Delta, The Honor Society of Agriculture.

On behalf of the University, the Board of Trustees expresses to the family its deep sympathy and sense of understanding in their loss. It was directed that this resolution be inscribed upon the minutes of the Board of Trustees and that a copy be tendered to the family as an expression of the Board's heartfelt sympathy.

Henry E. Wenden

The Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University expresses its sorrow upon the death on December 13, 1991, of Henry Edward Wenden, Professor Emeritus in the Department of Geological Sciences.

Professor Wenden was born in New York City on November 24, 1916. He received a B.S. in Geology from Yale University in 1938. He spent four years during World War II serving with the Army Air Force and was discharged in 1945 with the rank of Technical Sergeant. He received an M.A. (1951) and a Ph.D. (1958) in Mineralogy from Harvard University. Dr. Wenden was an Instructor at Boston University from 1949-1953 and an Assistant Professor at Tufts University from 1953-1957. He joined the faculty of The Ohio State University as an Assistant Professor in 1957; he was promoted to Associate Professor in 1961 and was a Professor from 1963 until his retirement in 1983.

Professor Wenden was a fellow in the Mineralogy Society of America and the Ohio Academy of Science and a member of the Mineralogical Societies of Great Britain and Canada, the American Crystallographic Association, the National Association of Geology Teachers, and Sigma Xi (honorary).

Professor Wenden authored articles that were published in the leading mineralogical journals, coauthored the book *The Changing Science of Mineralogy* and made a substantial contribution to the 17th edition of Dana's *Manual of Mineralogy*, one of the most widely used textbooks in the field. His research on the diffusion of ions in quartz led to his being awarded a patent for developing a method of changing resonant frequency of quartz oscillator plates. He was a consultant for Owens-Corning Fiberglas, E.I. du Pont de Nemours, National Cash Register, and Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Research.

Professor Wenden was a teacher with few peers. He possessed the elusive faculty of enlivening even the most mundane facet of his subject by drawing on personal experiences and broad knowledge of the arts, history, and sciences. In discussions of advanced topics in his field he would never fail to contribute an illuminating explanation or a simplifying approach. Dr. Wenden

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

was presented with the Neil Miner Award by the National Association of Geology Teachers in 1962 for eminence in stimulating interest in the earth sciences, the first time the Award had been given to a person in the midst of their career rather than to one who had reached retirement or for whom retirement was imminent. Dr. Wenden also received the Distinguished Teaching Award at The Ohio State University in 1964. For years he was deeply involved with the Teaching Commission of the International Mineralogical Association. His wisdom, counsel, and the enthusiasm with which he transmitted his love of mineralogy will not be forgotten by the students and colleagues whose lives he touched.

On behalf of the University, the Board of Trustees expresses to the family of Professor Wenden its deep sympathy and sense of understanding of their loss. It was directed that this resolution be inscribed upon the minutes of the Board of Trustees and that a copy be tendered to the family as an expression of the Board's heartfelt sympathy.

Alma Louise Wittmeyer

The Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University expresses its sorrow upon the death on January 7, 1992, of Alma Louise Wittmeyer, Associate Professor Emeritus in the College of Nursing.

Alma Louise Wittmeyer was born April 19, 1925, in Cincinnati, Ohio. She began her education in nursing at Deaconess Hospital School of Nursing, Cincinnati, Ohio, graduating in 1946. She continued her education at The Ohio State University, earning a Bachelor of Science in Education in 1949 and a Master of Arts (Education-Guidance) in 1957. She participated in advanced education at the Institute for Behavioral Science National Training Laboratory in Bethel, Maine in 1966 and 1969. Ms. Wittmeyer was a nurse, teacher, and administrator. Her special interests involved the development of audiovisual materials in the instruction of nursing skills.

She joined the faculty of The Ohio State University School of Nursing as an Instructor in 1950. She was promoted to the rank of Assistant Professor in 1957 and Associate Professor in 1965. She worked as a member of the teaching staff and served as director of the Surgical Nursing Division. She directed a faculty group, with as many as twenty faculty members, teaching nursing arts and introductory nursing. She engaged in the initial research activities of the School of Nursing and published several articles in the nursing literature. She was a visiting Associate Professor at the School of Nursing, Wisconsin State University at Oshkosh 1970-71, and was named assistant director for health programs and Chairman of Health Services at the OSU Lima Campus in 1972. She served as Chairperson, Health Technologies Division, Professor of Nursing, Lima Technical College and Associate Director, The Ohio State University at Lima. While at Lima she oversaw the development of several health technology programs in addition to the Associate Degree program in Nursing. She was named as Associate Professor Emeritus in 1984.

Ms. Wittmeyer was recognized for her creativity in teaching. She foresaw the potential for television as a media for teaching nursing when television teaching was still in its infancy at The Ohio State University. She and other nursing faculty, under her guidance, prepared numerous videotapes that were used in teaching nursing fundamentals to several generations of nursing students. Her commitment to this form of instruction was evidenced in the guidance she gave to the design of the instructional facilities of Newton Hall, the College of Nursing Building.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Ms. Wittmeyer had a strong commitment to students, evidenced not only through teaching, but also through her involvement with student organizations through the College of Nursing. She was a faculty advisor to the Torch Club, and the Student Faculty Government Association and served as advisor to the School of Nursing class of 1967. She was a member of Sigma Theta Tau, the National Honor Society for Nursing and served Epsilon chapter as its president, secretary, and faculty advisor. The College of Nursing Alumni Association recognized her by awarding her with honorary membership. In addition to her university affiliations, she also actively participated with the Ohio Nurses Association, the Ohio League for Nursing and other community organizations.

On behalf of the University, the Board of Trustees expresses to the family its deep sympathy and sense of understanding in their loss. It was directed that this resolution be inscribed upon the minutes of the Board of Trustees and that a copy be tendered to the family as an expression of the Board's heartfelt sympathy.

#### Hans J. Zassenhaus

The Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University expresses its sorrow upon the death on November 21, 1991, of Hans J. Zassenhaus, Professor Emeritus in the Department of Mathematics.

Hans Zassenhaus was born in Coblinz-Moselweiss, Germany, on May 28, 1912. After four years of undergraduate and graduate studies at the University of Hamburg, he received his doctorate in June 1934 at the age of 22. In 1938, Professor Zassenhaus earned his 'Habilitation' with a fundamental paper laying the foundations of a new area of research. During his long and illustrious career, he taught at several universities: Rostock University, Germany (1934-36), University of Hamburg, Germany (1936-48); University of Glasgow, Scotland (1948-49), Fellow of the British Council; McGill University, Canada, (1949-59), Peter Redpath Professor; University of Notre Dame, (1959-63); The Ohio State University (1963-64), Mershon Visiting Professor; and The Ohio State University, (1964-82), Research Professor. Some of the visiting positions held by him were: Gauss Professor, Gottingen University; Heidelberg University; UCLA; and Warwick University.

Professor Zassenhaus was a truly outstanding mathematician and most inspiring colleague. Over a period of 58 years, he contributed about 150 research papers. His last paper appeared in 1991. His research interests included algebraic number theory, geometry of numbers, algebra and partial differential equations of mathematical physics. He had authored several books. His famous "Theory of Groups" (1949) remains an indispensable reference even today. Under his leadership, "The Journal of Number Theory" was established at Ohio State in 1969.

Professor Zassenhaus took a profound interest in teaching of mathematics at all levels. He directed a large number of Ph.D. dissertations, 20 at Ohio State. He was very kind to his students and was most generous in sharing his time and creative ideas. Each summer, for several years, he taught a selected group of gifted high school students.

Professor Zassenhaus' numerous academic honors included: The Lester Ford Prize of Mathematics Association of America, membership in The Royal Society of Canada, Distinguished Fairchild Scholar at the California Institute of Technology, Distinguished American Scientist Award of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Sesquicentennial University Professor at Montreal University, Distinguished Research Award, The Ohio State University (1981), and several honorary degrees.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

On behalf of the University, the Board of Trustees expresses to the family its deep sympathy and sense of understanding in their loss. It was directed that this resolution be inscribed upon the minutes of the Board of Trustees and that a copy be tendered to the family as an expression of the Board's heartfelt sympathy.

Upon motion of Mr. Celeste, seconded by Mr. Colley, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous voice vote.

--0--

## **INVESTMENTS COMMITTEE REPORT**

Amb. Wolf:

The Investments Committee held its annual review of our seven endowment fund equity advisors yesterday. We met with representatives from the Students Investment class, the Chicago Corporation, R. Meeder & Associates, Neuberger/Berman Mutual Funds, Nicholas Applegate, Capital Management, Wells Fargo Investment Advisors, and Wood Island Associates. Each of those advisors reported on its performance for the past fiscal year, as well as its current outlook for the coming year.

It was reported for the 12 month period ending June 30, 1991, that the Chicago Corporation and Wells Fargo S&P 500 Fund surpassed the bench mark return on the S&P 500, which itself was an extraordinary high return. The Investment Committee is going to review the information submitted to us yesterday, and will present any proposed changes we have at a future meeting of this Board.

I would like to take just a moment to call your attention to the Endowment Portfolio Summary in our books. The monthly endowment summary for the period December 20, 1991 through January 17, 1992, that's a period of about one month. The market value of the endowment fund on January 17, 1992, was a remarkable \$409.7 million dollars. That's approximately \$23.5 million dollars above the figure a month earlier of December 20, 1991. And that market value represents an all time high.

I can say also happily say that this months increase of about 5.9 per cent represents the fifth highest monthly return in the Endowment Fund history. Just to give you a little clearer picture of those figures, the market value of the equity portion of our endowment fund increased \$24.2 million dollars; while the fixed income portion of the endowment fund decreased only \$64,000.00. Net new additions to the endowment fund totaled \$373,000.00 for that period.

Now since July 1, 1991, which is the beginning of our fiscal year, the endowment fund has increased also a remarkable \$58.5 million dollars. Of that amount net new additions were about \$19.5 million dollars; and the net change in market value counted for an increase of a little over \$39 million dollars. You will be pleased to know that the current assets allocation for the endowment fund is about 59 per cent invested in equities; 24 per cent in fixed income; 9 per cent in real estate; and 8 per cent in cash or cash equivalents.

All equity and fixed income advisors have had higher market values now, then they did 12 months ago. And for those of you who are interested, in the agenda book is the quarterly endowment fund report for the period ending December 20, 1991. This is a very happy result we've had the last 6 months and the last month, in particular, and the University will benefit because of these results. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Mr. Teaford:

I would just note that two of the people who represented our investment managers yesterday are with us today. The two students who presented the report which indicated that the original \$5 million dollars that we gave to the students to invest is now at \$7.5 million dollars. And I would like them to stand and be recognized.

Dr. Gee:

I might just note, also, that Ambassador Wolf was interviewed yesterday by a number of radio stations and I heard him early this morning on National Public Radio commenting on a number of things. I got a particular chuckle, and the students will, too, when they hear, when he indicated that he would like to send his own portfolio to you. We look forward to hearing how that works out.

(See Appendix LVIII for complete context of The Ohio State University Endowment Summary, January 17, 1992, page 901).

(See Appendix LIX for complete context of The Ohio State University Endowment Fund Quarterly Reports, December 20, 1991, page 915.)

--0--

#### **AGRICULTURAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE REPORT**

Mr. Celeste:

I'm actually reporting on a meeting that was held in November. The Agricultural Affairs Committee meeting was held on November 6, 1991, at the College of Agriculture. Mr. Fred Dailey, Director of Agriculture for the State of Ohio and Vice Chairman of the Agricultural Affairs Committee, raised concerns that faculty and community leaders have regarding OARDC and the future of OARDC. Particularly, the effects of budget cuts and the Early Retirement program on the faculty and staff at Wooster, and Long-range plans for Wooster.

Dr. Moser gave a brief history on OARDC and dealt with several of the misconceptions that people have regarding the Center. He has since that time held open forums with the faculty and staff up in Wooster, and he and Director Dailey have been up to Wooster. And, indeed, Dr. Moser, Director Dailey and the Committee are planning to get together with community leaders and legislators in Wooster, to let them know about the College and University's support of OARDC, at the next meeting of the Agricultural Affairs Committee, scheduled for March 18, 1992. I'd like to encourage any members of the Board to participate with us in that meeting.

--0--

#### **RESEARCH FOUNDATION REPORT**

Ms. Casto:

I'm pleased to report a 34 per cent increase in research dollars for the last 6 months of 1991 compared with 1990. Several large international awards contributed to this increase. One of special note is \$8.25 million dollar contract with the Government of Turkey to provide educational services.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

The project with Turkey was discussed with much interest at The Ohio State University Research Foundation Board of Directors meeting January 17. This contract illustrates how important culture and language are to negotiations. It also points out the added complications of large scale international projects. These include imprest bank accounts, provisions for foreign law, payment issues, and the time and travel demands to conclude contract negotiations. On the other hand it is a fine example of the benefits that the University international projects provide. Thus the consensus of the OSURF Board was that the Research Foundation should continue to encourage faculty efforts in this area and to assist them with special problems encountered in these projects.

At tab 1, which is the summary of sponsor awards, you will find the National Science Foundation support has increased substantially. Many disciplines across campus have been generating more NSF fund. As you know, we tend to measure our research ranking by the NSF and NIH barometer, so it is especially welcome news that we see both sectors up. With competition for scarce funds increasing it is heartening to know that The Ohio State University is doing better than holding its own.

An expenditure summary is provided at tab 2. Indirect cost recovery is up by a million dollars.

Tab 3 contains a list of November Awards and tab 4 contains a list of December Awards.

At tab 5 you will find abstracts from some of the projects funded during this reporting period. One spotlights the NSF statewide science education project that has been receiving considerable publicity. The criminology project, which is described, demonstrates the combined research and service role of the University.

Environmental science and environmental engineering are emerging resource priorities. Among the December awards are some examples of Ohio State University work in this area. At tab 6 some of the projects are highlighted. There is also additional descriptive information at tab 7 on Ohio State University faculty expertise in this field of research. This topic is receiving more visibility and attention both nationally and within the State of Ohio.

## **REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS**

Resolution No. 92-85

Synopsis: Approval of the following reports on contracts, grants, and gifts and the summary for November and December 1991 is proposed.

WHEREAS monies are solicited and received on behalf of the University from governmental, industrial, other agencies, alumni, and various individuals in support of research, instructional activities, and service; and

WHEREAS such gifts and grants are received through The Ohio State University Research Foundation, the Engineering Experiment Station of The Ohio State University, and The Ohio State University Development Fund:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That the research agreement between The Ohio State University and The Ohio State University Research Foundation for the contracts and grants reported herein, and the acceptance of the reports from the Engineering Experiment Station, and The Ohio State University Development Fund during the months of November and December 1991 be approved.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Upon motion of Ms. Casto, seconded by Amb. Wolf, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous voice vote.

(See Appendix LX for The Ohio State University Development, page 925.)

(See Appendix LXI for Report of Research Contracts, Grants, and Gifts, page 927.)

**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

Summary

NOVEMBER 1991

Source	7/1/90-11/30/90	7/1/91-11/30/91	November 1991
The Ohio State University Research Foundation	\$54,946,721.75	\$67,122,968.34	\$6,252,816.00
Engineering Experiment Station	\$ 3,646,788.00	\$ 2,911,702.00	\$ 343,441.00
OSU Foundation and Development Fund	\$19,155,212.00	\$16,263,137.00	\$3,852,051.00

The Ohio State University Development Fund

Establishment of Named Funds

The Charles and Theresa Brown Presidential, Distinguished, Medalist and University Scholarship Fund (Merit scholarships)	\$ 300,000.00
The Viola M. Wolfe Critical Difference for Women Re-Entry Scholarship Fund (Scholarships)	\$ 100,000.00
The College of Pharmacy Alumni Professional Education Fund (Support professional educational activities)	\$ 67,596.03
The Evelyn G. and George R. Ghearing Endowed Scholarship Fund (Scholarships - Marion Campus)	\$ 50,000.00
The John C. Geupel Cancer Research Endowment Fund (Support cancer research)	\$ 35,317.00
The Alumni Association Building Endowment Fund (Support building)	\$ 31,500.00
The Drug Distribution and Public Policy Programs Fund (Support teaching, research, and service programs)	\$ 25,000.00

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

The Hazel M. Borntrager Memorial Fund (Discretion of the Board of Trustees)	\$ 15,000.00
--	--------------

The Karl A. Borntrager Fund in Civil Engineering (Benefit department)	\$ 15,000.00
--	--------------

Summary  
DECEMBER 1991

Source	7/1/90-12/31/90	7/1/91-12/31/91	December 1991
The Ohio State University Research Foundation	\$62,689,734.00	\$84,426,416.62	\$17,303,448.28
Engineering Experiment Station	\$ 4,047,556.00	\$ 3,361,502.00	\$ 449,800.00

The Ohio State University Development Fund

Establishment of Named Funds

The Andrew Hendrickson Scholarship Fund in Adult Education (Support financial assistance to a doctoral student)	\$ 15,000.00
--	--------------

The Morgan Horvitz Fund in Veterinary Medicine (Scholarships)	\$ 15,000.00
--	--------------

The Barbara A. and Peter B. Miller Endowed Scholarship Fund (Scholarships - Marion Campus)	\$ 15,000.00
---	--------------

The Virginia Smith Stecker International Student Loan Fund (Short-term loans for international students)	\$ 15,000.00
---	--------------

The Luther and Eloyce Tweeten Endowment Fund (Fund associateships and lectures in Agricultural Economics)	\$ 15,000.00
--	--------------

The Ruby and Jack Weis Scholarship Fund (Scholarships - Marion Campus)	\$ 15,000.00
---	--------------

Change of Name of Name Fund

From: The Steve & Sarah O'Donnell Fund for University Systems  
To: The Steve R. and Sarah E. O'Donnell Fund for University Systems

Change in Description of Named Fund

The Donald D. Glower Merit Scholarship Fund

Change of Name and Description of Professorship

From: The Robert M. Critchfield Professorship in Engineering  
To: The Robert M. Critchfield Fund in Engineering



**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT FUND (contd)**

Description of Named Funds

The Charles and Theresa Brown Presidential,  
Distinguished, Medalist and University  
Scholarship Fund

The Charles and Theresa Brown Presidential, Distinguished, Medalist and University Scholarship Fund was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with gifts to The Ohio State University Development Fund from the estate of Ervin Brown, Chillicothe, Ohio.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used to provide one or more merit scholarships for Charles and Theresa Brown Presidential, Distinguished, Medalist and/or University Scholars. Students shall be selected based upon the criteria sanctioned by the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost and administered by the University Honors Center in consultation with the University Committee on Student Financial Aid.

This merit scholarship initiative is the result of recommendations from the 1990 University Task Force on Undergraduate Recruitment and the 1990-1991 Undergraduate Recruitment Implementation Steering Committee to the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost.

It is the desire of the donor that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate college dean, department chairperson, or program administrative officer in order to carry out the desire of the donor.

The Viola M. Wolfe Critical Difference for  
Women Re-entry Scholarship Fund

The Viola M. Wolfe Critical Difference for Women Re-Entry Scholarship Fund was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University with gifts to The Ohio State University Development from the estate of Viola M. Wolfe of Valley City, Ohio.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used to provide scholarships for women returning to school after a break in their education. Students will be selected upon the recommendation of The Critical Difference for Women Re-Entry Scholarship Committee in consultation with the University Committee on Student Financial Aid. Criteria shall include both need and merit.

**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT FUND (contd)**

Description of Named Funds (contd)

The Viola M. Wolfe Critical Difference for  
Women Re-entry Scholarship Fund (contd)

It is the desire of the donor that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. Should the need for this fund cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate college dean, department chairperson, or program administrative officer in order to carry out the desire of the donor.

The College of Pharmacy Alumni  
Professional Education Fund

The College of Pharmacy Alumni Professional Education Fund was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with gifts to The Ohio State University Development Fund from alumni and friends of the College of Pharmacy.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used to support professional educational activities, facilities, and/or programs of the College of Pharmacy as approved by the Dean. In the event that it becomes necessary to invade the principal to meet the above objectives, the Dean may do so at his discretion.

It is the desire of the donors that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate college dean, department chairperson, or program administrative officer in order to carry out the desire of the donors.

The Evelyn G. and George R. Ghearing  
Endowed Scholarship Fund

The Evelyn G. and George R. Ghearing Endowed Scholarship Fund was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with gifts to The Ohio State University Development Fund from Evelyn G. and George R. Ghearing.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT FUND (contd)**

Description of Named Funds (contd)

The Evelyn G. and George R. Ghearing  
Endowed Scholarship Fund (contd)

Twenty percent (20%) of the annual income shall be added to the fund's principal. The remaining eighty percent (80%) shall be used to award one or more education, engineering or business scholarships to deserving students who are attending The Ohio State University Marion Campus. Preference for scholarship awards shall be given to those students of freshman rank or higher who are pursuing study in education, engineering, or business, and who have a minimum overall G.P.A. of 3.0 or higher. The selection of the recipients shall be made by a committee consisting of a designated member of the Ghearing family and the University Committee on Student Financial Aid. The University shall make all reasonable attempts to contact a family member to have a representative present during the selection process. The successor of the above committee will be The Ohio State University Committee on Student Financial Aid in consultation with the Dean of The Ohio State University at Marion. Unused income in any given year shall be added to the principal of the fund.

It is the desire of the donors that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate college dean, department chairperson or program administrative officer in order to carry out the desire of the donors.

The John C. Geupel Cancer Research Endowment Fund

The John C. Geupel Cancer Research Endowment Fund for the Arthur G. James Cancer Hospital and Research Institute was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University with gifts to The Ohio State University Development Fund in memory of John C. Geupel.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used to support cancer research in The Arthur G. James Cancer Hospital and Research Institute as approved by the Director of the Arthur G. James Cancer Hospital and Research Institute and the Vice President of Health Services.

It is the desire of the donors that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the Director of The Arthur G. James Cancer Hospital and Research Institute and the Vice President of Health Services in order to carry out the desire of the donors.

**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT FUND (contd)**

Description of Named Funds (contd)

**The Alumni Association Building Endowment Fund**

The Alumni Association Building Endowment Fund was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with gifts to The Ohio State University Development Fund from members and friends of The Ohio State University Alumni Association.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income and additional gifts are to be added to the principal for the purpose of planning, constructing and maintaining an Alumni Association Building on campus. Principal as well as income may be used for planning, construction and maintenance of the building. Funds remaining after planning and construction of the building shall be transferred to The Ohio State Alumni Association, upon their request, for the purpose of maintaining the building.

It is the desire of the donors that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees, with preference being given to recommendations from the Board of Directors of The Ohio State University Alumni Association.

**The Drug Distribution and Public Policy  
Programs Fund**

The Drug Distribution and Public Policy Programs Fund was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University with a transfer of funds from the O.S.P.A. - Pathak Fund.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used to assist in the development and operation of teaching, research, and service programs in the areas of drug distribution, pharmaceutical administration and public policy. Dr. Dev Pathak, or his designee, shall be responsible for the administration of this fund on behalf of the College of Pharmacy at The Ohio State University as long as Dr. Pathak is a faculty member or on staff at The Ohio State University. When Dr. Pathak is no longer a member of The Ohio State University, the annual income shall be used to support the projects in the area of pharmaceutical administration and public policy undertaken by graduate students and faculty members at The Ohio State University College of Pharmacy.

It is the intent that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate college dean, department chairperson, or program administrative officer in order to carry out the intended purpose of this fund.

**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT FUND (contd)**

Description of Named Funds (contd)

The Hazel M. Borntrager Memorial Fund

The Hazel M. Borntrager Memorial Fund was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with a gift to The Ohio State University Development Fund from the estate of Karl A. Borntrager (B.S.C.E. '16) in memory of his wife, Hazel M. Borntrager (B.A. '16, B.S.H.E. '16).

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used at the discretion of the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University.

It is the desire of the donor that this fund shall benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate college dean, department chairperson, or program administrative officer in order to carry out the desire of the donor.

The Karl A. Borntrager Fund in Civil Engineering

The Karl A. Borntrager Fund in Civil Engineering was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with a gift to The Ohio State University Development Fund from the estate of Karl A. Borntrager (B.S.C.E. '16).

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used for the benefit of the Department of Civil Engineering as deemed appropriate by the Dean of the College of Engineering after consultation with the Chairperson of the Department of Civil Engineering.

It is the desire of the donor that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate college dean, department chairperson, or program administrative officer in order to carry out the desire of the donor.

**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT FUND (contd)**

Description of Named Funds (contd)

The Andrew Hendrickson Scholarship  
Fund in Adult Education

The Andrew Hendrickson Scholarship Fund in Adult Education was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with gifts to The Ohio State University Development Fund from Norejane J. Hendrickson (Ph.D., Education, 1958) in honor of her husband, Dr. Andrew Hendrickson, Professor Emeritus and former Director of the Center for Adult Education in the College of Education.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used to provide financial assistance to a doctoral student in Adult Education. Students eligible for this award shall have an approved dissertation prospectus and the scholarship shall be used to assist with completion of dissertation work. Selection of the recipient shall be made by the Dean of the College of Education, in consultation with the University Committee on Student Financial Aid and the Adult Education faculty.

It is the desire of the donor that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the Dean of the College of Education in order to carry out the desire of the donor.

The Morgan Horvitz Fund in Veterinary Medicine

The Morgan Horvitz Fund in Veterinary Medicine was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with a gift to The Ohio State University Development Fund from Marcy and Richard Horvitz of Moreland Hills, Ohio.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used to provide scholarship funds to a student who, having completed at least one academic quarter or semester in the College of Veterinary Medicine and having indicated a likelihood of practicing canine veterinary medicine demonstrates (1) true compassion toward and love of animals, and (2) a high level of scholarship and academic proficiency, as determined by the College of Veterinary Medicine Scholarship Committee, or a successor faculty committee with similar function. In the event that the Scholarship Committee determines that two or more candidates for the scholarship are equally deserving, the Scholarship Committee may consider the financial need of the candidates. Selection of the scholarship recipients shall be made by the College of Veterinary Medicine Scholarship Committee, or its successor, in consultation with the University Committee on Student Financial Aid. The scholarship award is renewable provided the scholarship recipient continues to meet the criteria set forth above.

**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT FUND (contd)**

Description of Named Funds (contd)

**The Morgan Horvitz Fund in Veterinary Medicine (contd)**

It is the desire of the donors that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate college dean, department chairperson, or program administrative officer in order to carry out the desire of the donors.

**The Barbara A. and Peter B. Miller Endowed  
Scholarship Fund**

The Barbara A. and Peter B. Miller Endowed Scholarship Fund was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with gifts to The Ohio State University Development Fund from Barbara A. and Peter B. Miller.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

Twenty percent (20%) of the annual income shall be added to the fund's principal. The remaining eighty percent (80%) shall be used to award one or more scholarships to deserving students who are attending The Ohio State University Marion Campus. Preference for scholarship awards shall be given to those students of sophomore or higher rank who are residents of Marion County, who have financial need, and who have a minimum overall G.P.A. of 2.5 or higher. The scholarship award shall be used for tuition only. The selection of scholarship recipients shall be made by a committee consisting of a representative of the Miller family and the University Committee on Student Financial Aid. Should a representative of the family not be available or wish to participate, the selection responsibility shall rest with the University Committee on Student Financial Aid. Unused income in any given year shall be added to the principal of the fund.

It is the desire of the donor that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate college dean, department chairperson or program administrative officer in order to carry out the desire of the donors.

**The Virginia Smith Stecker International  
Student Loan Fund**

The Virginia Smith Stecker International Student Loan Fund was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with gifts to The Ohio State University Development Fund from family and friends of Virginia Smith Stecker.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT FUND (contd)**

Description of Named Funds (contd)

The Virginia Smith Stecker International  
Student Loan Fund (contd)

The annual income shall be used for short-term, interest-free loans to international students faced with emergency situations. Students will apply for these loans through the Office of International Students and Scholars under the usual University application procedures. International students may borrow a maximum of \$1,000. A signed endorsement by the Office of Student Financial Aid will be required. The loan repayment period will be limited to not more than ninety (90) days and will be repaid under existing University procedures to the Office of Student Loan Services.

It is the desire of the donors that the fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use for the benefit of international students and/or their immediate families shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate college dean, department chairperson, or program administrative officer in order to carry out the desire of the donors.

The Luther and Eloyce Tweeten Endowment Fund

The Luther and Eloyce Tweeten Endowment Fund was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with gifts to The Ohio State University Development Fund from Luther and Eloyce Tweeten.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used to assist in the funding of associateships and lecturers in the Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology as approved by the Department chairperson.

It is the desire of the donors that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate college dean, department chairperson, or program administrative officer in order to carry out the desire of the donors.

The Ruby and Jack Weis Scholarship Fund

The Ruby and Jack Weis Scholarship Fund was established February 7, 1992, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with gifts to The Ohio State University Development Fund from Ruby and Jacob (Jack) F. Weis (B.S.E.E. '26).

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.



**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT FUND (contd)**

Description of Named Funds (contd)

The Ruby and Jack Weis Scholarship Fund (contd)

Twenty percent (20%) of the annual income shall be added to the fund's principal. The remaining eighty percent (80%) shall be used to provide scholarship assistance to qualified students who enroll at The Ohio State University Marion Campus. Scholarship awards shall be made to students who have demonstrated (1) financial need; (2) a high degree of academic potential and scholastic ability; and (3) a record of good citizenship and service to others. Preference for scholarship awards shall be given to those who intend to enter the field of engineering and secondarily to those majoring in scientific studies. Should income from this fund not be awarded in any given year, the unused income is to be added to the fund's principal. Selection of the scholarship recipients shall be made by the University Committee on Student Financial Aid upon the recommendation of the Dean and Director of The Ohio State University at Marion.

It is the desire of the donors that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate person or committee then responsible for student scholarship awards to students from the Marion, Ohio area in order to carry out the desire of the donors.

**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT FUND (contd)**

**Change of Name and Description of Named Professorship**

**The Robert M. Critchfield Fund in Engineering**

The Robert M. Critchfield Professorship in Engineering was established July 11, 1975, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with a bequest to The Presidents Club of The Ohio State University by the late Robert M. Critchfield, (E.E., 1916) former vice president of the General Motors Corporation. Due to insufficient funding the professorship is being changed to a fund and the description is being revised February 7, 1992.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used to support faculty teaching and research through the purchase of equipment and instrumentation; employment of assistants; and/or defrayment of costs of travel, publication, and incidentals related to professional development. Selection of recipients is to be made from among faculty members, regular and special, of the College of Engineering by the Dean of the College of Engineering. Preference is to be given to faculty in the Department of Electrical Engineering.

It is the desire of the donor that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the appropriate college dean, department chairperson, or program administrative officer in order to carry out the desire of the donor.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT FUND (contd)**

**Change in Description of Named Fund**

**The Donald D. Glower Merit  
Scholarship Fund**

The Donald D. Glower Merit Scholarship Fund was established December 6, 1991, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with gifts to The Ohio State University Development Fund from friends and colleagues in honor of Donald D. Glower, Dean, College of Engineering, 1976-1990, and Vice President, Development, 1990-1992. The fund is being revised February 7, 1992.

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used to provide undergraduate merit scholarships to students in the College of Engineering. Scholarship recipients shall be selected as freshmen and may continue as Glower Merit Scholars through their senior year so long as they remain in the top 10% of their class academically and continue in engineering. This 10% should be used as a guideline. Preference shall also be given to students from Crawford and Richland Counties. The fund shall be administered by the College of Engineering in cooperation with the University Honors Center and in consultation with the University Committee on Student Financial Aid.

It is the desire of the donors that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the dean of the College of Engineering in order to carry out the desire of the donors.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

**REPORT OF RESEARCH CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND GIFTS (contd)**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT FUND (contd)**

**Change of Name of Named Fund**

**The Steve R. and Sarah E. O'Donnell Fund  
for University Systems**

The Steve and Sarah O'Donnell Fund for University Systems was established November 6, 1987, by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with gifts to The Ohio State University Development Fund from Steve R. O'Donnell (B.E.E., 1962; M.S., Electrical Engineering, 1963); and Sarah E. O'Donnell of Westerville, Ohio. The name of the fund is being revised February 7, 1992

All gifts are to be invested in the University's Permanent Endowment Fund, under the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio State University, with the right to invest and reinvest as occasion dictates.

The annual income shall be used to provide awards to University Systems employees who have shown excellence in some phase of our data processing activities; to acquire computing facilities for innovative tasks or inquiries; and/or provide specialized education opportunities for emerging or state-of-the-art computing techniques. Expenditures from the fund will be authorized by the Assistant Vice President, University Systems.

It is the desire of the donors that this fund should benefit the University in perpetuity. If the need for this fund should cease to exist or so diminish as to provide unused income, then another use shall be designated by the Board of Trustees, with preference being given to the recommendations from the appropriate administrative official of the University who is then directly responsible for administrative data processing.

## HOSPITALS BOARD COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Barone:

I wish to give at this time the summary of Hospital Board activities of January 1992. On Thursday January 3 the Professional Affairs Educational Research Committee, the Administration Operation Committee, and the University Hospital Board meet. The Professional Affairs meeting began with an executive session devoted to qualify the care issue. The discussion included an actual case study. A review of the credential process and a usual review of quality indicator. Committee members felt these reports were very helpful and demonstrated the time and effort that have brought University Hospital to this level of excellence. In open session the Committee received up dates from the Medical Director, the Chief of Staff, and the Director for this interrelation. Mr. Fraley presents encouraged statistics on certification, registration of license of hospital employees and also provided statistics on vacancy and turn over rates.

The Administration Operation Committee reviewed the external alter recommendation and managed its responses. All with the audited financial statement for the year ending June 30th, 1991. The committee received reports on safety and security, construction renovation projects, and an up dated performance of hospital affiliates. Results of certificate of need appeal are important to our hospital. Mr. Schrock reported on litigation by the hospital against the Department of Health and Human Services. The Executive Director reported covered the selection of Rhodes Hall on the University's south bonded landmark and on going efforts to increase the number of available parking places for patients, visitor and staff.

After receiving committee reports the Hospital Board witnessed the same old reel of University Hospital activities and that was presented to the Trustees in December by Ms. Selene, Dr. Vivian, and Mr. March. Mr. Fraley provided combined patient statistics from University Hospital and the James Cancer Hospital reporting that patient's activity through December was almost exactly on budget. Mr. Schrock reported that the University Hospital total gross patient revenue through December was 2.8% over budget. The receivable has been reduced in 34 days. The Board voted to authorize the Hospital to increase the capital equipment budget to purchase replacement for aging and equipment on serious concerns of the emergency power system. Ms. Hensley briefly discussed the Hospital Board self evaluation process announced to begin in the very near future. Mr. Fraley provided a summary of new programs including Mothers on the Move and a house full of housekeeping certificate program sponsored jointly with a private industry council. Additional up dates included the life care lines project, the echo family health center agreement, the Second Baptist Church Health Screening Clinic, Radio and TV spots being developed for use on WOSU, and a successful conclusion of the United Way Campaign during which the Hospital raised just over 115% of last years total. This ends the report on the Hospital Report Mr. Chairman.

At this time Mr. Chairman I wish to with much emotion and pride give you the name of Charlotte L. Immke to be appointed to the Hospital Board meeting.

As you know and as you stated I served Len Immke during my entire years that he was here on this Board. Of course, his generosity and his work with the Board of Trustees will always be remembered by everyone who ever served with him. I also had the honor to serve with Mr. Immke on the University Hospital Board. Now I feel greatly honored to present to you Charlotte Immke as a member of the Hospital Board.

Charlotte, Rose wanted me to tell you that she was very proud when she heard of your appointment.

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

Mrs. Charlotte Immke:

Thank you.

### **HOSPITALS BOARD APPOINTMENT**

Resolution No. 92-86

Synopsis: Approval of appointment to the Hospitals Board is proposed.

WHEREAS the Board of Trustees on November 30, 1979, approved the establishment of a University Hospitals Board; and

WHEREAS the membership of the Hospitals Board was approved on April 4, 1980, and has been subsequently amended; and

WHEREAS the Hospitals Board is recommending that Charlotte L. Immke be appointed to complete the remainder of the late Leonard J. Immke's term:

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED, That Charlotte L. Immke be hereby appointed to the University Hospitals Board for a term ending April 30, 1993.

Upon motion of Mr. Barone, seconded by Mr. Celeste, the Board of Trustees adopted the foregoing resolution by unanimous voice vote.

--0--

### **CANCER HOSPITAL COMMITTEE REPORT**

Mr. Dennis Smith:

Thank you Mr. Chairman, President Gee, and members of the Board. Thank you for the opportunity to present our quarterly report which we find to be exciting and promising and hope that you do as well. Since our last report in November 1991 the Arthur G. James Cancer Hospital and Research Institute Oversight Committee of the Board of Trustees has met on a monthly basis. The following is a condensed report from those three meetings which was distributed to you earlier.

At each meeting the Medical Director and Administrator Director of the Quality Assurance Department reported on the Hospital Operations currently monitored under quality assurance. There were no issues of major concern identified and the committee endorsed the initiation of implementing a continuous quality improvement program through identifying two or three major foci as described by the joint commission on Accreditation of Health Care Organizations.

The committee reviewed the fiscal year 1990-91 year end report which indicated an actual loss of 10.4 million dollars compared to a budgeted loss of 6.5 million dollars. It was noted that 8 million dollars of the net loss occurred during the first eight months of operations with a dramatic turn around occurring in the later portion of that operational year. Additionally, the committee reviewed the first six month report for fiscal year 91-92 and noted that all statistical and financial projections are being met and well within plan including admissions, patient days,

February 7, 1992 meeting, Board of Trustees

length of stay, and average daily census. Consequently, the Cancer Hospital has realized a net loss of 1.6 million dollars against a budgeted loss of 2.6 million dollars. This shows 1 million dollar positive variance. All indications are that this trend will continue and the projected annual net loss of 4.6 million dollars could be as little as 2.5 million dollars. It should be noted also that this equates to a 93% occupancy rate of the James Cancer Hospital. Compared to mid 1980 data provided to the state by vice president of Health Services the James Cancer Hospital is meeting and exceeding all financial projects including the anticipated break even time frame and annual net loss and net income budgets. However, this does not preclude the fact that the administration needs to and will continue to focus on major operational and financial strategies to promote the expedient solvency of the Cancer program.

Additionally included for your review please find the following: outpatient ambulatory care reports indicating dramatic volume increases of approximately 50% since opening last year, a report on our operating room volume which year to date has performed an average of 190 procedures per month compared to 107 procedures per month at this same time last year, a report on our development efforts which continue to accelerate with continued promise and improvements over the past 90 days, finally over the past 90 days several major grant applications have been submitted by members of the comprehensive Cancer Center including the submission of two spore grants, the brain tumor research grant, the construction grant, and at least 8 grant applications from the division of Hematology oncology. Recruitment efforts have been successful with the recent acceptance by a prestigious research to the wing chair and the addition of Dr. Richard Gabes with the developmental therapeutics programs.

Finally may I conclude by stating that the Arthur G. James Cancer Hospital and Research Institute continues to experience patient care and research growth. Operational success, financial improvement, and National notoriety of the past 12 months. In an effort to assure the continuation of this positive trend goals, objectives and supporting strategies are being finalized on a formalized strategic plan scheduled for implementation scheduled for implementation on March 1, 1992. This concludes my report.

--0--

Thereupon the Board adjourned to meet Friday, March 6, 1992, at The Ohio State University Fawcett Center for Tomorrow, Columbus, Ohio.

Attest:

Madison H. Scott  
Secretary

Hamilton J. Teaford  
Chairman